

Calendar No. 150

106TH CONGRESS }
1st Session }

SENATE

{ REPORT
106-74

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATION BILL, 2000

JUNE 10, 1999.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. BURNS, from the Committee on Appropriations,
submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany S. 1205]

The Committee on Appropriations reports the bill (S. 1205) making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignment and closure for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon and recommends that the bill do pass.

Total of bill as reported to Senate	\$8,273,820,000
Amount of 2000 budget estimate	5,438,443,000
Amount of 1999 appropriations	8,449,742,000
The bill as reported to the Senate:	
Over the budget estimate, 2000	2,835,377,000
Under appropriations for fiscal year 1999	175,922,000

CONTENTS

Background:	Page
Purpose of the bill	3
Comparative statement	3
Compliance with section 308 of the Budget Control Act	8
Committee recommendation	8
Items of special interest	9
Military construction, Army	15
Military construction, Navy	16
Military construction, Air Force	17
Military construction, Defense-wide	18
Military construction, Reserve components	21
NATO Security Investment Program	25
Family housing overview	26
Family housing, Army	26
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps	27
Family housing, Air Force	29
Family housing, Defense-wide	31
Family housing revitalization transfer fund	31
Family housing improvement fund	31
Base realignment and closure account, part IV	32
General provisions	33
Compliance with paragraph 7, rule XVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate ...	34
Compliance with paragraph 7(c), rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	35
Compliance with paragraph 12, rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	35
Project listing by location	36

BACKGROUND

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction appropriation bill provides necessary funding for the planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, both for Active and Reserve Forces. It also finances the construction, alteration, improvement, operation, and maintenance of military family housing, including payments against past housing mortgage indebtedness. Certain types of community impact assistance may be provided, as well as assistance to members of the military who face loss on the sale of private residences due to installation realignments and closures. The bill is also the source for the U.S. share of the NATO Security Investment Program. In addition, the bill provides funding to implement base closures and realignments authorized by law.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

The Committee recommends appropriations totaling \$8,273,820,000 for fiscal year 2000 military construction, family housing, and base closure. The following table displays the Committee recommendation in comparison with the current fiscal year, and the President's fiscal year 2000 request.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	1999 adjusted	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (–) compared with—	
				1999 adjusted	Budget estimate
Military construction, Army	865,726	656,003	1,067,422	+ 201,696	+ 411,419
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 105–277)	118,000	– 118,000
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2001	659,536	– 659,536
Total	983,726	1,315,539	1,067,422	+ 83,696	– 248,117
Military construction, Navy	602,593	319,786	884,883	+ 282,290	+ 565,097
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 105–277)	5,860	– 5,860
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2001	502,812	– 502,812
Total	608,453	822,598	884,883	+ 276,430	+ 62,285
Military construction, Air Force	612,809	179,479	783,710	+ 170,901	+ 604,231
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 105–277)	29,200	– 29,200
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2001	379,867	– 379,867
Total	642,009	559,346	783,710	+ 141,701	+ 224,364
Military construction, Defense-wide	551,114	193,005	770,690	+ 219,576	+ 577,685
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2001	337,900	– 337,900
Total	551,114	530,905	770,690	+ 219,576	+ 239,785
Total, Active components	2,785,302	3,228,388	3,506,705	+ 721,403	+ 278,317
Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund: Rescission (fiscal year 1997, Public Law 104–196)	– 5,000	+ 5,000
Military construction, Army National Guard	148,803	16,045	226,734	+ 77,931	+ 210,689
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 105–277)	2,500	– 2,500
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2001	41,357	– 41,357
Total	151,303	57,402	226,734	+ 75,431	+ 169,332
Military construction, Air National Guard	169,801	21,319	238,545	+ 68,744	+ 217,226

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	1999 adjusted	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (–) compared with—	
				1999 adjusted	Budget estimate
Operation and maintenance	1,087,697	1,098,080	1,098,080	+ 10,383
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 105–277)	5,200	– 5,200
Total, Family housing, Army	1,252,187	1,156,074	1,158,980	– 93,207	+ 2,906
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps:					
New construction	58,504	15,182	115,589	+ 57,085	+ 100,407
Construction improvements	227,791	31,708	165,050	– 62,741	+ 133,342
Planning and design	15,618	17,715	17,715	+ 2,097
General reduction and revised economic assumptions	– 7,323	+ 7,323
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2001	171,167	– 171,167
Subtotal, construction	294,590	235,772	298,354	+ 3,764	+ 62,582
Operation and maintenance	910,293	895,070	895,070	– 15,223
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 105–277)	10,599	– 10,599
Total, Family housing, Navy	1,215,482	1,130,842	1,193,424	– 22,058	+ 62,582
Family housing, Air Force:					
New construction	175,099	50,418	187,611	+ 12,512	+ 137,193
Construction improvements	104,108	34,280	129,952	+ 25,844	+ 95,672
Planning and design	11,342	17,093	17,471	+ 6,129	+ 378
General reduction and revised economic assumptions	– 10,584	+ 10,584
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2001	215,222	– 215,222
Subtotal, construction	279,965	317,013	335,034	+ 55,069	+ 18,021
Operation and maintenance	780,204	821,892	821,892	+ 41,688
Emergency appropriations (Public Law 105–277)	22,233	– 22,233
Total, Family housing, Air Force	1,082,402	1,138,905	1,156,926	+ 74,524	+ 18,021
Family housing, Defense-wide:					
Construction improvements	345	50	50	– 295

Operation and maintenance	36,899	41,440	41,440	+ 4,541
Total, Family housing, Defense-wide	37,244	41,490	41,490	+ 4,246
Family housing revitalization			25,000	+ 25,000	+ 25,000
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	2,000	78,756	25,000	+ 23,000	- 53,756
Total, Family housing	3,589,315	3,546,067	3,600,820	+ 11,505	+ 54,753
New construction	(340,703)	(70,000)	(327,200)	(- 13,503)	(+ 257,200)
Construction improvements	(380,723)	(71,341)	(327,652)	(- 53,071)	(+ 256,311)
Planning and design	(33,310)	(39,108)	(39,486)	(+ 6,176)	(+ 378)
General reduction	(- 20,546)			(+ 20,546)	
Operation and maintenance	(2,815,093)	(2,856,482)	(2,856,482)	(+ 41,389)	
Family Housing Improvement Fund	(2,000)	(78,756)	(25,000)	(+ 23,000)	(- 53,756)
Emergency appropriations	(38,032)			(- 38,032)	
Advance appropriations		(430,380)			(- 430,380)
Base realignment and closure accounts:					
Part III	427,164			- 427,164	
Part IV	1,197,338	705,911	705,911	- 491,427	
Advance appropriations, fiscal year 2001		577,306			- 577,306
Total, Base realignment and closure accounts	1,624,502	1,283,217	705,911	- 918,591	- 577,306
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps (fiscal year 1999 Sec. 125)	6,000			- 6,000	
General Provisions					
Contingency reduction (sec. 125)			- 278,051	- 278,051	- 278,051
Grand total:					
New budget (obligational) authority	9,134,234	8,499,273	8,273,820	- 860,414	- 225,453
Appropriations	(8,454,742)	(5,438,443)	(8,273,820)	(- 180,922)	(+ 2,835,377)
Rescissions	(- 5,000)			(+ 5,000)	
Emergency appropriations	(684,492)			(- 684,492)	
Advance appropriations		(3,060,830)			(- 3,060,830)

COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 308 OF THE BUDGET CONTROL ACT

Section 308(a) of the Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344) requires that the Committee include in its report a comparison of its recommendations with levels contained in the first concurrent resolution. Appropriate data are reflected below:

BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC.
308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93–344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority		Outlays	
	Committee allocation	Amount of bill	Committee allocation	Amount of bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees of amounts for fiscal year 2000: Subcommittee on Military Construction:				
General purpose discretionary	8,274	8,274	8,789	¹ 8,789
Violent crime reduction fund
Mandatory
Projections of outlays associated with the recommendation:				
2000	² 2,473
2001	3,046
2002	1,608
2003	614
2004 and future year	492
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 2000	NA	NA

¹ Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

² Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends new fiscal year 2000 appropriations of \$8,273,820,000. This is \$2,835,377,000 over the budget request, and \$175,922,000 under the appropriations for fiscal year 1999. The basis for this recommendation is contained in the following “Items of special interest,” and under the discussions pertaining to each individual appropriation. Complete project detail is provided in the tables at the end of the report.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

COMPLIANCE WITH 302(b) ALLOCATION

The Appropriations Committee conformed fully to the budget resolution for defense spending in its 302(b) allocation. This allocation divided the budget authority and outlays among the subcommittees with jurisdiction over discretionary spending. In this recommended bill, the Appropriations Committee has remained within the tight constraints of its 302(b) allocation for military construction.

HEARINGS

The Subcommittee on Military Construction held hearings on the fiscal year 2000 budget request during March 1999. The subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the military services and defense agencies concerning fiscal year 2000 budget priorities and base realignment and closure issues.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The budget request for fiscal year 2000 reflects a reduction of \$3,011,299,000 from the amount enacted in fiscal year 1999. The Committee notes, with great concern, the continuous under investment by the Department of Defense in military facilities and infrastructure. This proposed level of funding does not provide sufficient resources to continue the Department's efforts to modernize, renovate, and improve aging defense facilities.

The effects of sustained and structural inattention by the Department and the military departments to basic infrastructure are apparent on nearly every military installation. This will continue to have long-term implications as facilities continue to age disproportionately without a sustained level of investment in maintenance and repair.

The requested funding level reflects a lack of commitment by the administration to reduce the serious backlog of readiness, revitalization and quality of life projects. The Committee urges the Department to develop a comprehensive funding strategy and plan to address these serious infrastructure problems.

The Committee recommends an additional \$2,835,377,000 above the fiscal year 2000 budget request to fully fund the budget request, as well as correct some of these deficiencies and shortfalls. The total recommended appropriation for fiscal year 2000 is \$8,273,820,000, a reduction of \$175,922,000 from fiscal year 1999 funding.

ADVANCE APPROPRIATIONS

The Committee is concerned that the President's fiscal year 2000 budget request for military construction recommended an incremental funding approach for military construction and family hous-

ing projects. The proposed use of “advance appropriations” flies in the face of the Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 and the Administration’s long standing policy of fully funding military construction.

In reviewing the events that led to the decision to request advance appropriations, the Committee is disturbed that such a major financing policy change was instituted at such a late date in the budget preparation process. It allowed almost no discussion within the Administration about the considerable risks that were being taken. The Committee also notes that no precedent exists for the broad-based, incremental funding of military construction as proposed by the Administration.

Although there has been tacit support within the Department for the Administration’s action, open discussions with the civilian and military civil engineering community indicate significant dangers to this approach for both cost and schedule to complete the construction projects. To proceed in this manner demonstrates poor financial stewardship and places the fiscal year 2000 construction program in extreme jeopardy.

In addition to “advance appropriations”, the Administration requested the separation of funds for supervision, overhead and inspection (SIOH) charges totaling \$154,281,000 from specific military construction projects and to annualize those charges over a five-year period. The Committee strongly opposes both changes to the management of the Department’s military construction and family housing programs.

To ensure the integrity of the budget and appropriation process and that such fiscal practices are not repeated, the Committee directs the Department to fully fund all military construction projects in future budget requests. Further, the Committee directs the Department to adhere to the phase-funding guidelines it had previously established in conjunction with the Office of Management and Budget, prior to this budget submission. Continued disregard of this direction will force the Committee to review all military construction financing policies and enact appropriate controls and restrictions.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PROJECTS

The Department has requested \$244,910,000 for environmental compliance project construction during fiscal year 2000. The Committee recommends funding of \$244,910,000 for these 25 projects, as follows:

[In thousands of dollars]	
<i>State/Service/Installation/Project</i>	<i>Recommended</i>
Alaska:	
Army: Fort Wainwright: Emissions Reduction Facility	15,500
Defense-Wide: DFSC Elmendorf AFB: Hydrant Fuel System	23,500
Defense-Wide: DESC Eielson AFB: Hydrant Fuel System	26,000
Colorado: Air Force: Schriever AFB: Sanitary Sewer Line	5,500
Florida: Air Force Reserve: Homestead ARB: Fire Fighter Training Facility	2,000
Georgia: Air National Guard: Savannah IAP: Regional Fire Training Facility	1,700
Hawaii:	
Navy: Pearl Harbor NSY: Abrasive Blast & Paint Facility	10,610
Air Force: Hickam AFB: Fire Training Facility	3,300

<i>State/Service/Installation/Project</i>	<i>Recommended</i>
Kansas: Army: Fort Leavenworth: Water Treatment Plant	8,100
Maryland: Navy: Indian Head Naval Surface Warfare Ctr: Sewage Treatment Plant	10,070
North Carolina: Air Force: Pope AFB: Dangerous Cargo Pad	7,700
Pennsylvania: Naval Reserve: Willow Grove NAS: Hazardous/Matrl Strg Facility	1,930
South Carolina:	
Navy: Beaufort Marine Corps Air Station: Corrosion Control Facility	8,700
Air Force: Charleston AFB: C-17 Corrosion Control Facility	18,200
Tennessee: Air Force: Arnold Engineering Dev Center: Upgrade Jet Eng Air Induct Sys Ph III	7,800
Washington:	
Army: Fort Lewis: Tank Trail Erosion Mitigation—Yakima Ph V	12,000
Defense-Wide: DESC Fairchild AFB: Add to Hydrant Fuel System	12,400
Guam:	
Air Force: Andersen AFB: Landfill Closure	8,900
Defense-Wide: Def Fuel Support Point Guam: Replace Hydrant Fuel System	24,300
Puerto Rico: Air National Guard: Puerto Rico IAP: C-130 Fuel Cell Corrosion Control	5,600
Spain: Defense-Wide: DFSC Moron Air Base: Replace Hydrant Fuel System	15,200
United Kingdom:	
Air Force: Feltwell: Wastewater Treatment Facility	3,000
Air Force: RAF Mildenhall: Hazmat Storage Facility	1,000
Air Force: RAF Mildenhall: Consolidate Corrosion Control	10,200
Air Force: RAF Molesworth: Wastewater Treatment Facility	1,700
Total	244,910

The Committee again directs the Department to devote the maximum amount of resources to actual cleanups and to limit resources expended on administration, support, studies, and investigations, to the greatest extent possible.

REPROGRAMMING CRITERIA

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) continue to apply to new housing construction projects and to improvements more than \$2,000,000. To provide the individual services the flexibility to proceed with construction contracts without disruption or delay, the costs associated with environmental hazard remediation such as asbestos removal, radon abatement, lead-based paint removal or abatement, and any other legislated environmental hazard remediation may be excluded, provided that such remediation requirements could not be reasonably anticipated at the time of budget submission. This exclusion applies to projects authorized in the budget year, and also projects authorized in prior years for which construction contracts have not been completed.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

The Committee has included \$705,911,000 for the “Base realignment and closure” account. The Committee has fully funded the budget request for base closure and realignment. This includes funding of the requirements for environmental cleanup at closing and realigning bases.

The Committee again asks the General Accounting Office [GAO] to continue its annual review of the base closure accounts. The Committee requests GAO’s review of and recommendations on the

validity of DOD's proposed budget requests for base closure activities. The Committee expects the Department of Defense and the military services to fully cooperate with the GAO in all aspects of its review.

The Committee believes the annual GAO review will continue to give the Congress a better foundation for approving future requests. This report should be provided to the congressional defense committees not later than May 15, 2000.

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$753,898,000 for 47 barracks construction projects in fiscal year 2000, an increase of \$586,695,000 over the budget request. The projects are as follows:

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

[In thousands of dollars]

State/location/project	Request	Change	Recommended
ARMY			
Alaska: Fort Richardson: Whole Barracks Complex	2,200	12,400	14,600
Georgia:			
Fort Stewart: Whole Barracks Complex	7,000		7,000
Fort Benning: Whole Barracks Complex	7,100	39,900	47,000
Hawaii: Schofield Barracks: Whole Barracks Complex	14,200		14,200
Kansas:			
Fort Riley: Whole Barracks Renovation		27,000	27,000
Fort Leavenworth: Whole Barracks Complex	3,900	22,100	26,000
Kentucky: Fort Campbell: Whole Barracks Complex	4,800	27,200	32,000
Maryland: Fort Meade: Whole Barracks Complex	2,700	15,300	18,000
New Jersey: Fort Monmouth: Barracks Improvement		11,800	11,800
North Carolina:			
Fort Bragg: Whole Barracks Complex	16,508		16,508
Fort Bragg: Upgrade Barracks		14,400	14,400
Pennsylvania: Carlisle Barracks: Whole Barracks Complex	750	4,250	5,000
Texas: Fort Hood: Whole Barracks Complex	4,350	24,650	29,000
Virginia: Fort Eustis: Whole Barracks Complex	5,800	33,200	39,000
Germany:			
Ansbach: Whole Barracks Complex	3,150	17,850	21,000
Bamberg: Whole Barracks Complex	1,230	6,970	8,200
Bamberg: Whole Barracks Complex	860	4,840	5,700
Mannheim: Whole Barracks Complex	675	3,825	4,500
Bamberg: Whole Barracks Complex	1,400	7,900	9,300
Korea: Camp Casey: Whole Barracks Complex	4,650	26,350	31,000
Army Total	81,273	299,935	381,208
NAVY/MARINE CORPS			
California:			
NMC San Diego: Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	5,470	16,120	21,590
NavHospital 29 Palms: Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	1,930	5,710	7,640
MCAGCC 29 Palms: Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	4,840	14,290	19,130
Camp Pendleton: Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	2,390	7,350	9,740
Georgia: NAS Atlanta: Bachelor Enlisted Quarters		5,430	5,430
Hawaii: NS Pearl Harbor: Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	4,720	13,880	18,600
Illinois:			
NTC Great Lakes: Recruit In-Process Barracks	3,370	9,940	13,310
NTC Great Lakes: Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	7,700	23,710	31,410
Maine: NAS Brunswick: Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	4,270	12,620	16,890
Mississippi:			
NCBC Gulfport: Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	3,260	9,600	12,860
CTC Gulfport: Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	1,600	4,710	6,310

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

State/location/project	Request	Change	Recommended
Virginia:			
FCTC Dam Neck: Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	2,610	7,700	10,310
MCCDC Quantico: Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	5,270	15,550	20,820
NSY Norfolk: Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	4,460	13,170	17,630
Bahrain:			
Bachelor Enlisted Quarters-Transient	6,230	18,320	24,550
Bachelor Enlisted Quarters-Security Force	5,840	17,930	23,770
Navy/MC Total	63,960	196,030	259,990
AIR FORCE			
Alabama: Maxwell AFB: Officer Transient Student Dormitory		10,600	10,600
Alaska: Elmendorf AFB: Dormitory	3,727	12,073	15,800
Florida:			
Eglin AFB: Dormitory	1,635	5,365	7,000
Eglin Aux. 9: Dormitory	2,161	6,939	9,100
Mississippi: Keesler AFB: Student Dormitory	4,679	15,221	19,900
Montana: Malmstrom AFB: Dormitory		11,600	11,600
Nebraska: Offutt AFB: Dormitory	1,941	6,359	8,300
Oklahoma: Tinker AFB: Dormitory	1,602	5,198	6,800
Texas: Lackland AFB: Dormitory	1,257	4,043	5,300
Virginia: Langley AFB: Dormitory	1,486	4,814	6,300
Korea: Osan AB: Dormitory	3,482	8,518	12,000
Total Air Force	21,970	90,730	112,700
GRAND TOTAL	167,203	586,695	753,898

Contingency funding.—The Committee believes that the amount requested for construction contingencies, 5 percent for new construction and 10 percent for alterations or additions, is excessive. The Committee supports the requirement established for unforeseen needs, such as environmental and regulatory requirements, unanticipated subsurface conditions and changes in the bid climate. However, the Committee has learned that this contingency funding is being used to fund upgrades on projects which have already been awarded and satisfy the basic requirement. The Committee has included a provision (Section 125) which reduces the funding available for contingency within the Department.

Alkali silica reactivity.—The Corps of Engineers has selected Fort Campbell, Kentucky as the initial defense installation to mitigate/prevent the deleterious effects of alkali silica reactivity (ASR) associated with concrete aprons, taxiways, tarmacs. However, the Committee recommends that the Corps, in conjunction with the Department of Defense, undertake a broader investigation of ASR problems at all domestic defense installations. Further, the Committee understands the Corps has already developed a list of high priority sites where ASR problems exist. The investigation should continue this prioritization of sites and include the development of specifications to prevent and mitigate ASR in new and existing concrete structures as well as associated cost estimates per installation. The Committee directs the Department to report to congressional defense committees on its strategy to deal with ASR no later than March 15, 2000.

Real Property Maintenance Reporting Requirement.—The Committee recommends a continuation of the following general rules for repairing a facility under Operations and Maintenance account funding:

Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement, and such replacement can be up to current standards or code.

Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as repair, but additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects.

Such projects may be done concurrent with repair projects, as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.

The appropriate Service Secretary shall submit a 21-day notification prior to carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of \$10,000,000.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Appropriations, 1999	\$983,726,000
Budget estimate, 2000	656,003,000
Committee recommendation	1,067,422,000

The Committee recommends \$1,067,422,000 for the Army for fiscal year 2000. This is an increase of \$411,419,000 from the budget request for fiscal year 2000. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2000 as practical:

Range improvements, Fort Knox, KY.—Of the \$15,000,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army Construction” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,200,000 be made available to make range improvements at the Pells Range, Fort Knox, KY.

Ready building, Fort Richardson, AK.—Of the \$15,000,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Military Construction, Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available to construct a ready building at Fort Richardson, AK.

Fire-rescue station, Ft. Rucker, AL.—Of the \$15,000,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Military Construction, Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,000,000 be made available to replace an existing fire station located at Cairns Army Air Field, Ft. Rucker, AL.

Armament Software Engineering Center, Picatinny Arsenal, NJ.—Of the \$65,114,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military Construction, Army” account, the Committee directs that up to \$1,900,000 be made available for the design of this facility to be located at Picatinny Arsenal, NJ.

Joint mobility complex, Fort Wainwright, AK.—Of the \$65,114,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military Construction, Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,000,000 be made available for the design of a joint mobility complex to be located at either Fort Wainwright or Eielson AFB, AK.

Military History Institute and Army Heritage Museum, Carlisle, PA.—The Committee is aware of discussions between the Secretary of the Army, officials representing the City of Carlisle, Pennsylvania, and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, regarding the establishment of a Military History Institute and Army Heritage Museum in Carlisle. The Committee understands that the Secretary of the Army committed to making the proposed institute a first class facility. The community and the Commonwealth offered to provide 54 acres of land and \$5,000,000 to establish the facility. The Committee applauds the commitment made by the Army and the people of Pennsylvania, and urges the Secretary of the Army

to continue to work closely with the Carlisle project coordinators to advance the completion of the Military History Institute and Army Heritage Museum.

Of the \$65,114,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military Construction, Army" account, the Committee directs that up to \$500,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Information assurance.—The Department of Defense currently operates over two million separate computers and 25,000 distinct computer systems to conduct its mission. These computer systems are integral parts of a wide variety of defense programs. Many of these programs are critical to the direct fulfillment of military and supporting intelligence missions.

Attacks on these systems are capable of significantly disrupting military operations and activities at all levels. The Department of Defense is interested in developing an effective control over the access to its information systems through computers because its battle and communications systems are becoming increasingly dependent on information networks. The Committee understands that the Army is currently assessing technologies to make man-machine interface more user friendly, and is focusing on exploration and development of technologies in the biometrics arena to meet its information assurance requirements.

The Committee directs the Army to conduct a feasibility study of its requirements for a facility to house the combined activities of biometrics sensor and template repository, biometrics security technology, and other Army information assurance development and testing activities to accomplish a more focused and effective information assurance effort. This report should be provided to the congressional defense committee not later than February 15, 2000.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

Appropriations, 1999	\$608,453,000
Budget estimate, 2000	319,786,000
Committee recommendation	884,883,000

The Committee recommends \$884,883,000 for Navy and Marine Corps military construction for fiscal year 2000. This amount is an increase of \$565,097,000 from the fiscal year 2000 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2000 as practical:

Learning resource center, NAVSTA Pascagoula, MS.—Of the \$8,862,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Military Construction, Navy" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$920,000 be made available to construct a learning resource center at the NAVSTA, Pascagoula, MS.

Apron modifications, NAS Whiting Field, FL.—Of the \$8,862,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the "Military Construction, Navy" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$600,000 be made available to construct a power check pad/apron modifications at the NAS Whiting Field, FL.

Prepositioned equipment maintenance facilities, Blount Island, Jacksonville, FL.—The Committee understands that the Secretary of Defense recently approved a waiver of the current moratorium

on land acquisition for the purchase of the afloat prepositioning maintenance facilities at Blount Island, Jacksonville, FL. These facilities are critical to the prepositioning support of the Marine Corps. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to proceed with the necessary actions required to initiate this land acquisition at the earliest possible date.

Naval Submarine Base, Bangor, WA.—The Committee is concerned over the unwillingness of the Navy and the Department of Defense to address the need for an elementary special education center to serve the Naval Submarine Base, Bangor, Washington. In the Senate report accompanying the fiscal year 1999 Military Construction Appropriations Bill, the Department was directed to make \$1,000,000 available from the “DOD dependent school” account for the design of such a facility to serve the Bangor installation, and to award a contract for the planning and design as early as practical in fiscal year 1999. Despite this language, the Department has not proceeded with this contract. The funds remain available, and the Committee directs the Navy and the Department of Defense to proceed immediately with design, planning, and site preparation activities associated with this facility. The Committee further urges the Department to address the requirement for this construction project in the fiscal year 2001 budget.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 1999	\$642,009,000
Budget estimate, 2000	179,479,000
Committee recommendation	783,710,000

The Committee recommends \$783,710,000 for the Air Force in fiscal year 2000. This is an increase of \$604,213,000 to the fiscal year 2000 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2000 as practical:

Child development center addition, Hill AFB, UT.—Of the \$14,126,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Military Construction, Air Force” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available to expand the child care center at Hill AFB, Utah.

Youth center upgrade, Holloman AFB, NM.—Of the \$14,126,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Military Construction, Air Force” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,100,000 be made available to expand and upgrade the youth center at Holloman AFB, NM.

Wing headquarters, Goodfellow AFB, TX.—Of the \$32,764,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military Construction, Air Force” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,000,000 be made available for the design of a wing headquarters at Goodfellow AFB, TX.

Fire/crash rescue station, Offutt AFB, NE.—Of the \$32,764,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military Construction, Air Force” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$760,000 be made available for the design of a fire/crash rescue station at Offutt AFB, NE.

Vehicle maintenance corrosion control facility, Minot AFB, ND.—Of the \$32,764,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military Construction, Air Force”, the Committee directs that not less than \$280,000 be made available for the design of a vehicle maintenance corrosion control facility at Minot AFB, ND.

McClellan Nuclear Radiation Center, CA.—During fiscal year 2000, the Committee fully expects the Department of the Air Force to reprogram \$17,593,000, from within the Base Realignment and Closure Account contained in this bill, to accomplish the transfer of the McClellan Nuclear Radiation Center, CA., to the University of California.

Weapons’ storage area, Malmstrom AFB, MT.—The Committee understands that the weapons’ storage area on the base is more than thirty years old, does not meet today’s high standards for weapons’ storage, and requires an intensive manpower effort in loading and unloading munitions. The costs to maintain this facility are in the range of \$7,000,000 to \$8,000,000 each year. The Committee strongly believes this facility must be replaced expeditiously and resited to a location that takes into consideration current safety standards.

Accordingly, of the \$32,764,000 provided for planning and design within the “Military Construction, Air Force” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,000,000 be made available for the design of a new weapons’ storage area at Malmstrom AFB during fiscal year 2000. The Committee further directs the Secretary of the Air Force to report to the Committee on the status of these design efforts quarterly throughout the year, beginning with December 15, 1999.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 1999	\$551,114,000
Budget estimate, 2000	193,005,000
Committee recommendation	770,690,000

The Committee recommends \$770,690,000 for projects considered within the “Defense-wide” account. The amount recommended is an increase of \$577,685,000 from the fiscal year 2000 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION

The budget request identified a requirement of \$256,200,000 for the construction of chemical weapon demilitarization facilities in fiscal year 1999. The Committee recommends a total of \$261,300,000 for this program.

The following chemical demilitarization projects are provided for fiscal year 2000:

[In thousands of dollars]

State/location/project	Request	Change	Recommended
Alabama: Anniston AD: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility. Ph VII	7,000	7,000
Arkansas: Pine Bluff Arsenal: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility. Ph IV	61,800	61,800

[In thousands of dollars]

State/location/project	Request	Change	Recommended
Indiana: Newport AD: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph II	61,200	61,200
Kentucky: Blue Grass AD: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph I	11,800	11,800
Kentucky: Blue Grass AD: Ammunition Demilitarization Support	11,000	11,000
Kentucky: Blue Grass AD: Ammunition Surveillance Facility	900	5,100	6,000
Maryland: Aberdeen Proving Ground: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph I	66,600	66,600
Oregon: Umatilla DA: Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph V	35,900	35,900
Total	256,200	5,100	261,300

The Committee does not support the Department's transfer of the Chemical Demilitarization Program funds from the Office of the Secretary of Defense to the Army Military Construction Program. The Committee believes that the focus on this program must remain at the Office of the Secretary of the Defense rather than at the service level and directs the Department to submit the fiscal year 2001 budget accordingly.

MEDICAL CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

The budget request included \$161,750,000 for 23 projects and for unspecified minor construction to provide hospital and medical facilities, including treatment, training, and medical research and development facilities. The Committee recommends funding for these projects and two additional projects for a total of \$174,950,000.

The fiscal year 2000 program of \$174,950,000 represents a decrease of \$35,650,000 from the amount appropriated for medical construction projects in fiscal year 1999.

The following hospital and medical projects are provided for fiscal year 2000:

[In thousands of dollars]

Location / Project	Recommended
Training Facilities:	
Florida: Pensacola Naval Air Station: Aircrew Water Survival Training Facility	4,300
Maryland: Patuxent River NAS: Aircrew Water Survival Training Facility	4,150
North Carolina: Cherry Point: Aircrew Water Survival Training Facility	3,500
Texas: Fort Sam Houston: Veterinary Instructional Facility	5,800
Virginia: Norfolk NAS: Aircrew Water Survival Training Facility	4,050
Washington: Whidbey Island NAS: Aircrew Water Survival Training Facility	4,700
Hospital and Medical Facilities:	
Alabama: Dannelly Field: Medical Training and Dining Facility	6,000
Alaska: Ft. Wainwright: Hospital Replacement (Phase I)	18,000
Arizona: Davis Monthan AFB: Ambulatory Health Care Center Addition	10,000
California: Los Angeles AFB: Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement	13,600
California: Travis AFB: War Readiness Materials Warehouse & Engineering Support Facility	7,500
Florida: Jacksonville NAS: Branch Medical/Dental Clinic Addition	3,780
Florida: Patrick AFB: Medical Logistics Facility Replacement	1,750
Georgia: Moody AFB: War Readiness Materials Warehouse/Bio-environmental Engineering Facility	1,250
Indiana: Ft. Wayne: Medical Training and Dining Facility	7,200
Kansas: Fort Riley: Consolidated Troop Medical Clinic	6,000

<i>Location / Project</i>	<i>Recommended</i>
Maryland: Andrews AFB: Medical Logistics Facility Addition	3,000
Ohio: Wright-Patterson AFB: Occupational Health Clinic, Bio-environmental Engineering Lab Replacement	3,900
Virginia: Cheatham Annex: Fleet Hospital Support Office Container Holding Yard	1,650
Washington: Ft. Lewis: North Ft. Lewis Dental Clinic Replacement	5,500
Germany: Ramstein Air Base: Dental Clinic Addition	7,100
Korea: Yongsan: Hospital Addition	38,570
Korea: Yongsan: Medical Supply/Equipment Storage Warehouse Replacement	2,550
Puerto Rico: Naval Security Group Activity: Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement	4,000
United Kingdom: Royal Air Force Lakenheath: Dental Clinic Addition	7,100
Total	174,950

Contingency construction.—The Committee has provided \$938,000 for the Secretary of Defense “Contingency construction” account in accordance with the budget request. This account provides funds which may be used by the Secretary of Defense for unforeseen facility requirements. The Committee believes that the funding provided to the account is adequate to meet the needs of the Department.

Forward operating locations.—The fiscal year 2000 budget submission requested \$42,800,000 for the acquisition and construction of three forward deployment sites for drug interdiction and counter-drug activities. The proposal was to construct three bases in Costa Rica, Ecuador, and Curacao, Netherlands Antilles using funds from the “Drug Interdiction and Counter-drug Activities, Defense” appropriation.

The Committee recognizes the importance of the Department’s counter-narcotics mission, and supports the high priority that it places on this mission. However, the Committee is concerned that the proposal to construct these new bases was developed outside the military construction process and budgetary guidelines without adequate consensus among the services and Congress as to the overall requirements for the counter-narcotics mission in the region. The Committee believes it is premature to appropriate funds for these bases until the Congress has been provided a long-range master plan for each location, combined with justification, detailed data, and costs for each of the projects that the Department anticipates building at these sites. The Department must also determine which military service has the responsibility for building and maintaining these bases.

The Committee also directs the Department to submit future requests for specific military construction projects in support of drug interdiction and counter-drug activities of the Department of Defense on a line-item basis, as part of the budget request for military construction. Accordingly, the Committee did not recommend construction funding for these forward operating locations, but rather provided \$5,000,000 of planning and design funds that will facilitate the detailed planning and design work for these facilities over the next fiscal year. The Committee directs that none of the fund appropriated in the 1999 Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act (Public law 106–31) be used to fund these bases. However, the Committee urges the Department to develop its long-

range master plan as quickly as possible. In the interim, the Committee urges the Department to continue aggressive counter-drug operations using existing facilities in the region.

SCHOOL FACILITIES STUDY

The Committee is concerned about the adequacy of special education services available to the dependent children of uniformed personnel, particularly on those installations identified as compassionate assignment posts. The Committee is also concerned about the impact of special education needs on civilian school districts, such as the Central Kitsap School District, Washington, that support military installations identified as compassionate assignment posts. Consolidation and base realignment have greatly increased the number of personnel assigned to certain installations, such as the Bangor Submarine Base, Washington, overburdening the capacity of local school districts and jeopardizing the quality of educational services, particularly special education services, to both the affected civilian and military populations.

Accordingly, the Committee has included a provision (section 126) which directs the Secretary to provide the Committee with a report, by April 30, 2000, detailing the following: (1) a survey of schools on military installations in the United States that are operated by either the Department of Defense or local school districts; (2) a survey of school districts in the continental United States that have experienced an increase in enrollment of 20 percent or more in the past five years resulting from base realignments or consolidations; and (3) an assessment of the impact of increased special education requirements on school districts in areas designated by the military departments as compassionate assignments posts. The Committee further directs the Secretary to assess the adequacy of special education services and facilities for dependent children of uniformed personnel within the United States, particularly at compassionate assignment posts, and to recommend corrective measures where necessary to adequately support military personnel, including such improvements as the renovation of existing schools or the construction of new schools, a cost estimate of needed improvements, and a recommended source of funding within the Department of Defense.

Given the importance of providing quality education to dependent children of military personnel and maintaining the educational standards of the school districts that support military installations, the Committee expresses great disappointment that this study was not initiated in compliance with the reporting requirements that accompanied the fiscal year 1999 Military Construction Appropriations Bill, and directs the Secretary to initiate the study outlined above without further delay.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, RESERVE COMPONENTS

Appropriations, 1999	\$505,115,000
Budget estimate, 2000	77,572,000
Committee recommendation	638,435,000

The Committee recommends \$638,435,000 for military construction projects for the Guard and Reserve components. This amount

is \$560,863,000 above the fiscal year 2000 budget request. This increase reflects the Committee's continued strong support for the Guard and Reserve.

The Committee's recommended action on each Reserve component project is reflected in the State list at the end of this report.

The Committee recommends approval of military construction, Reserve component as outlined in the following table:

RESERVE COMPONENT

Component	Request	Committee recommendation
Army National Guard	\$16,045,000	\$226,734,000
Air National Guard	21,319,000	238,545,000
Army Reserve	23,120,000	105,817,000
Naval Reserve	4,933,000	31,475,000
Air Force Reserve	12,155,000	35,864,000
Total	77,572,000	638,435,000

Rapid Assessment and Initial Detection (RAID) Teams.—The Committee notes the continued implementation of the Defense Reform Initiative Directive Number 25, which address the integration of National Guard and reserve components in responding to weapons of mass destruction. The Committee supports the continued establishment of National Guard RAID teams as a critical component of this program.

However, as the National Guard assumes this new mission, many of these teams do not have adequate facilities from which to train, to coordinate with other federal and state agencies, and to store decontamination and reconnaissance equipment. Any outstanding requirements in this area must be fully integrated into the overall resourcing strategy for responding to weapons of mass destruction.

Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Army, in coordination with the Chief of the National Guard Bureau, to review the overall RAID teams' infrastructure requirements. This study should provide a master plan on RAID teams facility requirements, identify existing shortfalls, provide prioritization of any needed facilities, and identify proposed sources of funding and the associated budgetary time line. This assessment should be provided to the congressional defense committees not later than February 15, 2000.

The Committee has added \$35,446,000 for specific Reserve component planning and design initiatives and minor construction projects listed below. The Department is expected to program the construction funds for these projects.

Planning and design.—The Committee recommendation provides an additional \$15,081,000 over the budget request for planning and design activities for the Reserve components.

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 1999 as practical:

Armed Forces Reserve Center/organizational maintenance shop, Glen Jean, WV.—Of the \$29,667,000 provided for planning and de-

sign within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,200,000 be made available for the design of an armed forces reserve center and organizational maintenance shop at Glen Jean, WV.

Army aviation support facility, Bangor, ME.—Of the \$29,667,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,340,000 be made available for the design of an Army aviation support facility located at Bangor, ME.

Regional training institute dormitory, Gowen Field, ID.—Of the \$20,771,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,220,000 be made available to construct a regional training institute dormitory at Gowen Field, ID.

Armed Forces Reserve Center, Smyrna, TN.—Of the \$29,667,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available for the design of an armed forces reserve center at Smyrna, TN.

Readiness center, Williamstown, WV.—Of the \$29,667,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$500,000 be made available for the design of a readiness center in Wood County, WV.

Armed Forces Reserve Center, Sand Springs, OK.—The Committee directs the Army National Guard to include the Armed Forces Reserve Center, Sand Springs, Oklahoma in its fiscal year 2001 budget request. The Committee further requests that the National Guard Bureau periodically update the Committee on the status of this project.

Regional training center, Fort Harrison, MT.—Of the \$20,771,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available to construct a regional training institute at Fort Harrison, MT.

Organizational maintenance shop, Fair Haven, VT.—Of the \$20,771,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,400,000 be made available to construct an organizational maintenance shop at Fair Haven, VT.

Readiness center, Bryan, TX.—Of the \$20,771,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available to construct a readiness center in Bryan, TX.

Combat arms educational facility, Fort Bragg, NC.—The Committee notes the urgent requirement for the construction of an Army National Guard combat arms educational facility located at Fort Bragg, NC. This facility was identified by the Army Chief of Staff to serve as part of the Total Army School System and will provide standard individual training and education to active component, Army National Guard and Army Reserve soldiers. The Committee directs the Army National Guard to include funding for this project in its fiscal year 2001 budget submission.

MOUT assault course, Fort Harrison, MT.—Of the \$20,771,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available to construct a MOUT assault course at Fort Harrison, MT.

Shoreline protection, Camp Grafton, ND.—Of the \$20,771,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available for shoreline protection at Camp Grafton, ND.

Utility expansion, Camp Dawson, WV.—Of the \$20,771,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$650,000 be made available to expand utilities at Camp Dawson, WV.

Readiness center, Westfield, MA.—Of the \$20,771,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,233,000 be made available to construct a temporary readiness support facility in Westfield, MA.

Readiness center, Yakima, WA.—Of the \$29,667,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$600,000 be made available for the design of an armory in Yakima, WA. Further, the Committee directs that the National Guard Bureau include this project in the fiscal year 2001 budget request.

Scout armories, AK.—Of the \$20,771,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available for the construction of Scout armories in Nightmute, Tuntutliak, and Petersburg, AK.

Training site maintenance facility, Fort Harrison, MT.—Of the \$20,771,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available to construct a training site maintenance facility at Fort Harrison, MT.

Armory, Sedalia, MO.—Of the \$29,667,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$300,000 be made available for the design of an armory to be located in Sedalia, MO.

Mobilization and Training Equipment Site/Combined Support Maintenance Shop, Fort Drum, NY.—Of the \$29,667,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,600,000 be made available for the design of these facilities at Fort Drum NY.

Repair taxiway/apron, Reno-Stead Airport, NV.—Of the \$20,771,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,490,000 be made available to reconstruct the apron and taxiway located at the Reno-Stead Airport, NV.

Readiness center, Bozeman, MT.—Of the \$29,667,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$500,000 be made available for the design of a readiness center at Bozeman, MT.

Public safety training center, Spokane, WA.—Of the \$29,667,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,215,000 be made available for the design of a public safety training center to be located in Spokane, WA.

Water storage tank, NAS Memphis, TN.—Of the \$4,036,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Military Construction, Naval Reserve” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,050,000 be made available to construct an elevated water storage tank at the NAS, Memphis, TN.

Army Reserve Center, Lincoln, NE.—Of the \$2,716,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army Reserve” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,300,000 be made available for the construction of a Reserve Center in Lincoln, NE.

Aircraft apron additions, General Mitchell IAP, WI.—Of the \$5,467,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Air Force Reserve” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$972,000 be made available to construct an aircraft apron addition at the General Mitchell IAP Air Reserve Station, WI, in support of the 440th Airlift Wing. Further, of the \$7,000,000 provided for unspecified minor construction with the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,410,000 be made available to construct an aircraft apron addition in support of the 128th Air Refueling Wing located at the General Mitchell IAP, WI.

Arm/dearm area, Great Falls, MT.—Of the \$17,871,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$150,000 be made available for the design of an arm/dearm area for Gore Hill, Great Falls, MT.

Aircraft corrosion control facility, Kulis ANGB, AK.—Of the \$17,871,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$955,000 be made available for the design of a corrosion control facility at Kulis ANGB, AK.

Consolidated aircraft maintenance hangar, McGuire AFB, NJ.—Of the \$17,871,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available for the design of a consolidated aircraft maintenance hangar for the Air National Guard at McGuire AFB, NJ.

Weapons release shop, Hector Field, ND.—Of the \$17,781,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$300,000 be made available for the design on a weapons release shop at Hector Field, Fargo, ND.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Appropriations, 1999	\$154,000,000
Budget estimate, 2000	191,000,000
Committee recommendation	100,000,000

The Committee has provided \$100,000,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] Security Investment Program for fis-

cal year 2000. This amount is \$91,000,000 below the President's fiscal year 2000 budget request. However, the Committee expects the Department to use funds that were appropriated in the 1999 Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act (Public Law 106-31) to provide adequate funding for this account in accordance with the amount authorized for fiscal year 2000.

NATO Security Investment Program funds.—The Committee continues to be concerned that the Department would like to use NATO Security Investment Program [NSIP] funds to help pay for initiatives under the Partnership for Peace Program [PFP] for countries outside of NATO.

The NSIP was established to fund critical infrastructure requirements within the NATO alliance. Every year, there are many more requirements than available funds. There continues to be significant funding challenges in the areas of logistics, communications, and construction that must be addressed by these limited funds. Accordingly, the Committee has again included a provision which prohibits the use of NSIP funds for any aspect of the Partnership for Peace Program or support to non-NATO countries.

FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

The Committee has provided \$3,600,820,000 for family housing construction, operations and maintenance, the Department's family housing improvements fund, and the new Family Housing Revitalization Transfer Fund. This amount is \$484,735,000 above the fiscal year 2000 budget request and \$11,505,000 above the amount appropriated in fiscal year 1999.

FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY

Appropriations, 1999	\$1,252,187,000
Budget estimate, 2000	1,112,083,000
Committee recommendation	1,158,980,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,158,980,000 for family housing, Army, in fiscal year 2000. This is \$46,897,000 over the fiscal year 2000 budget request.

Family housing, Dugway Proving Ground, UT.—The Committee notes the importance of the chemical and biological test and evaluation mission at Dugway Proving Ground. This mission makes a significant impact on the chem-bio readiness for the soldier on the ground. The Army's inconsistent support of family housing at Dugway, negatively impacts the mission due to the difficulty in recruiting and maintaining a workforce at such an isolated location. The Committee directs the Army to provide adequate family housing support at Dugway Proving Ground. Further, the Committee directs the Army to report to the Congressional Defense Committee within 180 days of enactment of this act, on the implementation of this directive. This report should also include the strategy the Army will take to provide adequate family housing resources in the out years.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$24,000,000 for new construction as shown below:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Korea: Camp Humphreys (60 units)	\$4,400,000	\$24,000,000
Total	4,400,000	24,000,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

Location/project	No. of units	Recommended
Germany:		
Weisbaden	198	\$8,100,000
Baumholder	162	17,500,000
Hanau	64	7,000,000
Total		32,600,000

FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 1999	\$1,215,482,000
Budget estimate, 2000	959,675,000
Committee recommendation	1,193,424,000

The Committee recommends \$1,193,424,000 for family housing, Navy and Marine Corps, in fiscal year 2000. This amount is \$233,749,000 over the fiscal year 2000 budget request.

NAVAL FLAG OFFICER QUARTERS

The Committee understands that the Navy has spent a total of \$5,500,000 from the "Operation and Maintenance, Navy" appropriation to maintain, repair and preserve three flag officer quarters from fiscal years 1992 through 1999. In addition, "Family Housing, Navy" appropriation funding was used to supplement these major renovation initiatives.

The use of the "Operation and Maintenance, Navy" appropriation for family housing renovation is totally inappropriate, irrespective of the rank of the individual who occupies these quarters. "Family Housing, Navy" funding is the correct appropriation for all family housing expenses, to include maintenance and repair. The Navy's designation of senior flag officers' quarters as representational, appears to be an attempt to circumvent the Congressional controls regarding limits on expenditures on flag and general officers' quarters. Further, the Navy has systematically failed to notify the Congress that these renovations and other family housing improvements were planned and ongoing, as well as that some of these projects exceeded cost variation guidelines. Accordingly, the Committee has included a new provision (section 124) which requires congressional notification of any expenditure of \$25,000 or more for repair and maintenance of flag and general officers' quarters.

The Committee directs that the General Accounting Office review the Department of Defense spending on general and flag officers' quarters and report to the congressional defense committees on their findings not later than March 15, 2000.

In its fiscal year 2000 budget submission, the Navy has requested funding for 41 units of senior officer housing. The Committee disapproves funding for the following projects and instead directs that these funds be applied to the revitalization of junior enlisted Navy housing at these bases:

Location/project	No. of units	Recommended
California: CNB-San Diego-Point Loma	8	— \$3,185,000
Hawaii: CNB Pearl Harbor-Makalapa	25	— 4,582,000
Japan:		
NAF Atsugi	7	— 1,117,000
CNFJ Yokosuka	1	— 1,428,000
Total	41	— 10,312,000

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$115,589,000 for new construction as shown below:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Arizona: Yuma MCAS (100 units)		\$17,000,000
Hawaii:		
Kaneohe Bay MCAS (100 units)	\$5,320,000	26,615,000
Marine Corps Base (84 units)		22,639,000
Pearl Harbor Naval Complex (133 units)	6,031,000	30,168,000
Pearl Harbor Naval Complex (96 units)	3,831,000	19,167,000
Total	15,182,000	115,589,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

Location/project	No. of units	Recommended
California:		
NB San Diego	8	\$3,185,000
NAWC China Lake	104	4,139,000
Hawaii:		
NB Pearl Harbor	28	3,730,000
NB Pearl Harbor	19	4,156,000
NB Pearl Harbor	112	18,055,000
NB Pearl Harbor	25	4,582,000
North Carolina:		
Camp Lejeune MCB	91	9,100,000
Cherry Point MCAS		2,700,000
Iceland: NAS Keflavik	101	15,977,000
Japan:		
NAF Atsugi	7	1,117,000
NAF Atsugi	96	10,520,000
NAF Atsugi	36	2,955,000
NOF Saesbo	88	692,000
NOF Saesbo	272	447,000
PWC Yokosuka	104	11,374,000
PWC Yokosuka	46	4,588,000

Location/project	No. of units	Recommended
PWC Yokosuka	7	1,428,000
Puerto Rico: NS Roosevelt Roads	294	29,440,000
Guam: PWC Guam	72	13,314,000
Arizona: MCAS Yuma	113	14,364,000
California: MCB Camp Pendleton	100	4,441,000
District of Columbia:		
Marine Barracks	1	181,000
Marine Barracks	1	158,000
Hawaii: MCB Kaneohe Bay	1	191,000
North Carolina: MCAS Cherry Point	103	2,024,000
Japan:		
MCAS Iwakuni	44	310,000
MCAS Iwakuni	44	1,882,000
Total		165,050,000

FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 1999	\$1,082,402,000
Budget estimate, 2000	923,683,000
Committee recommendation	1,156,926,000

The Committee recommends \$1,156,926,000 for family housing, Air Force, in fiscal year 2000, which is \$233,243,000 over the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$187,611,000 for new construction, instead of \$50,418,000 as requested, as shown below:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Arizona: Davis-Monthan AFB (64 units)	\$2,707,000	\$10,000,000
California:		
Beale AFB (60 units)	2,301,000	8,500,000
Edwards AFB (98 units)	4,404,000	16,270,000
Edwards AFB (90 units)	4,472,000	16,520,000
Vandenberg AFB (91 units)	4,548,000	16,800,000
District of Columbia: Bolling AFB (72 units)	2,537,000	9,375,000
Florida:		
Eglin AFB (130 units)	3,812,000	14,080,000
MacDill AFB (54 units)	2,446,000	9,034,000
Kansas: McConnell AFB		1,363,000
Mississippi: Columbus AFB (100 units)	3,327,000	12,290,000
Montana: Malmstrom AFB (34 units)	2,050,000	7,570,000
Nebraska: Offutt AFB (72 units)	3,343,000	12,352,000
North Carolina: Seymour Johnson AFB (78 units)	3,300,000	12,187,000
North Dakota:		
Grand Forks AFB (42 units)	2,720,000	10,050,000
Minot AFB (72 units)	2,912,000	10,756,000
Texas: Lackland AFB (48 units)	2,030,000	7,500,000
Portugal: Lajes AFB (Azores) (75 units)	3,509,000	12,964,000
Total	50,418,000	187,611,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

Location/project	No. of units	Recommended
Alaska: Elmendorf AFB	76	\$10,536,000
Arkansas: Little Rock AFB	83	4,196,000
Colorado: USAF Academy		650,000
District of Columbia: Bolling AFB	6	455,000
Florida: Eglin Aux Field 9		650,000
Hawaii:		
Hickam AFB	87	16,900,000
Hickam AFB	62	12,450,000
Maryland:		
Andrews AFB	54	5,791,000
Andrews AFB	80	8,635,000
Montana: Malmstrom AFB	46	5,810,000
Nebraska: Offutt AFB	352	1,541,000
New Jersey: McGuire AFB	34	4,100,000
South Carolina: Charleston AFB	50	5,500,000
Virginia: Langley AFB	23	4,000,000
Germany:		
Ramstein AB	62	8,500,000
Ramstein AB	65	8,910,000
Ramstein AB	102	5,100,000
Ramstein AB	96	11,650,000
Spangdahlem AB	20	3,144,000
Spangdahlem AB	20	3,134,000
United Kingdom:		
RAF Lakenheath	42	4,600,000
RAF Mildenhall	24	3,700, 000
Total	1,334	129,952,000

Housing Shortfall at Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID.—The Committee is concerned about a severe shortage of quality housing for airmen and families assigned to the 366th Wing, Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID. This shortage is exacerbated by the Air Force's decision not to proceed with housing privatization. The family housing deficit will only worsen with the increase in manpower from the new B-1B squadron. Local rentals are limited and utilities are expensive, causing financial hardship for junior enlisted personnel forced to reside off base. The closest rental market is Boise, more than 50 miles from the installation.

It is unclear how the future housing needs of the 366th Wing will be met. At the current rate of replacement for housing at the base, new on-base housing will have to be destroyed before the oldest units will be replaced. The situation is unacceptable.

The Air Force has made quality-of-life a top priority. In order to ensure that the Air Force fulfills this obligation, the committee directs the Air Force to review the housing plan for Mountain Home Air Force Base and provide a report to the Congress that specifies how the family housing requirements will be met over the future year defense plan. This report should be provided to the congressional defense committees no later than December 15, 1999.

FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 1999	\$37,244,000
Budget estimate, 2000	41,490,000
Committee recommendation	41,490,000

The Committee recommends \$41,490,000 for family housing, defense-wide, in fiscal year 2000. This amount is equal to the budget request. Specific details are included in the tables at the end of the report.

FAMILY HOUSING REVITALIZATION TRANSFER FUND

Appropriation to date	
Budget estimate, 2000	
Committee recommendation	\$25,000,000

The Committee recognizes that the readiness and retention of the all volunteer force are largely related to the quality of life which military personnel and their families enjoy. A major component of this equation is the condition and availability of military family housing. Many installations lack sufficient funding to make small but significant improvements to family housing. For example, several bases have family quarters with serious safety concerns because of funding shortfalls. Others installations have family quarters with kitchens and bathrooms that require renovation. Some family housing areas desperately need playground equipment and landscaping. Other quarters need to be rewired to accommodate today's electrical requirements and reduce the risk of fire from out-of-code and outdated wiring.

In view of these continuing requirements and backlog of projects, the Committee has provided \$25,000,000 in a new account in fiscal year 2000, the Family Housing Revitalization Transfer Fund. This account is to fund military family housing improvement projects within the United States, such as those highlighted above. The funding should not be distributed on a pro-rata share among the military services, but should be allocated based on the conditions and outstanding requirements of family housing units. Further, these funds shall not be transferred to the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund or used in conjunction with any housing privatization initiative ongoing or anticipated.

The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to provide a report to the congressional defense committees on all additional projects to be funded prior to the release of these funds and not later than October 31, 1999. This report should include location, estimated cost and projected commencement and completion dates.

FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Appropriations, 1999	\$2,000,000
Budget estimate, 2000	78,756,000
Committee recommendation	25,000,000

The Committee recommends \$25,000,000 for the family housing privatization fund in fiscal year 2000 rather than \$75,000,000 as requested in the budget. This reduction in funding is based on an adjusted estimate of the number and timing of those housing privatization projects that are likely to commence over the next year.

The Committee is concerned that the Military Housing Privatization Initiative is being regarded by the military departments as a substitute for military family housing construction. For example, in the fiscal year 2000 budget submission, the Army requested no family housing construction nor improvement projects for any military installation within the United States. The Army's total family housing program consists of four projects in Korea and Germany for a total amount of \$9,703,000. Further, the Army dropped all family housing improvement and construction projects from the Department's long-range plan.

It appears that the Department is scrambling to use the family housing privatization authorities as a means to solve all of their housing problems and deficits. With such limited success to date, the Department must allow sufficient time to fully capture lessons learned in all aspects of the process before proceeding to a nationwide program. The Committee insists that there must be several successful privatization efforts from each service before this program proceeds on a broader scale. There are simply many locations where housing privatization is not economically feasible nor in the long-term interest of the Department of Defense.

The Committee directs that the services execute those family housing construction projects for which funds have been appropriated rather than holding the funding as a potential down payment for future housing privatization efforts. Further, the Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to ensure that future budget requests for the military family housing programs of the military departments adequately address current and anticipated requirements.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV

Appropriations, 1999	\$1,197,338,000
Budget estimate, 2000	705,911,000
Committee recommendation	705,911,000

The Committee recommends \$705,911,000 for the base realignment and closure account, part IV. This is a decrease of \$918,591,000 from the amount appropriated for fiscal year 1999 but equal to the budget request.

The Committee notes the assurances of the Department of Defense that the requested amount of \$705,911,000 is adequate to address requirements in fiscal year 2000. Consistent with those assurances, the Committee expects no delay in the timely environmental remediation of realigning or closing installations and no deferral in the reuse of affected military installations.—

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The following lists general provisions proposed by the Committee. The Committee recommends inclusion of several proposals which have been incorporated in previous appropriations acts, provisions requested by the Defense Department, and new provisions. The Committee recommendations are as follows:

SEC. 101. Restricts payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for work, except in cases of contracts for environmental restoration at base closure sites.

SEC. 102. Permits use of funds for hire of passenger motor vehicles.

SEC. 103. Permits use of funds for defense access roads.

SEC. 104. Prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States for which specific appropriations have not been made.

SEC. 105. Limits the use of funds for purchase of land or land easements.

SEC. 106. Prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare a site, or install utilities for any family housing except housing for which funds have been made available.

SEC. 107. Limits the use of minor construction funds to transfer or relocate activities among installations.

SEC. 108. Prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

SEC. 109. Prohibits payments of real property taxes in foreign nations.

SEC. 110. Prohibits construction of new bases overseas without prior notification.

SEC. 111. Establishes a threshold for American preference of \$500,000 relating to architect and engineering services.

SEC. 112. Establishes preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific, and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in the Arabian Gulf.

SEC. 113. Requires notification of military exercises involving construction in excess of \$100,000.

SEC. 114. Limits obligations during the last 2 months of the year.

SEC. 115. Permits funds appropriated in prior years to be available for construction authorized during the current session of Congress.

SEC. 116. Permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

SEC. 117. Permits obligation of funds from more than 1 fiscal year to execute a construction project, provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

SEC. 118. Allows expired funds to be transferred to the "Foreign currency fluctuations, construction, defense" account.

SEC. 119. Directs the Department to report annually on actions taken to encourage other nations to assume a greater share of the common defense budget.

SEC. 120. Allows transfer of proceeds from "Base realignment and closure accounts, part I" to the continuing base realignment and closure accounts.

SEC. 121. Restricts the use of funds for the Partnership for Peace Program.

SEC. 122. Requires the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreements which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions and extended deployments.

SEC. 123. Provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

SEC. 124. Requires congressional notification of any expenditure of \$25,000 or more for repair and maintenance of flag/general officers' quarters.

SEC. 125. Provides a 5-percent reduction of the construction contingency account.

SEC. 126. Requires the Secretary of Defense to report on the adequacy of special education facilities for Department of Defense family members.

SEC. 127. Amends the 1999 Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act to allow the Department of Defense to transfer military construction funding to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program.

SEC. 128. Restricts the conveyance of land at the former Fort Sheridan, IL.

SEC. 129. Restricts construction of chemical demilitarization facilities at the Bluegrass Army Depot, KY., until reporting requirements have been met by the Secretary of Defense.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI, OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports on general appropriations bills identify each Committee amendment to the House bill "which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session."

Due to the early Committee action on the fiscal year 2000 Military Construction appropriations bill, an original Senate bill was reported by the Appropriations Committee to the Senate.

The Committee has acted in good faith in its attempt to comply fully with requirements stipulated under paragraph 7, rule XVI.

The Committee anticipates that Congress will authorize the amounts appropriated in this act.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(C), RULE XXVI OF THE
STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, the Committee ordered reported en bloc, S. 1205, an original fiscal year 2000 Military Construction Appropriations bill, and S. 1206, an original fiscal year 2000 Legislative Branch Appropriations bill, and an original fiscal year 2000 Commerce, Justice, State, and the Judiciary Appropriations bill, all subject to amendment and subject to the section 302 budget allocations, by a recorded vote of 28–0, a quorum being present.

Yeas

Nays

Chairman Stevens
Mr. Cochran
Mr. Specter
Mr. Domenici
Mr. Bond
Mr. Gorton
Mr. McConnell
Mr. Burns
Mr. Shelby
Mr. Gregg
Mr. Bennett
Mr. Campbell
Mr. Craig
Mrs. Hutchison
Mr. Kyl
Mr. Byrd
Mr. Inouye
Mr. Hollings
Mr. Leahy
Mr. Lautenberg
Mr. Harkin
Ms. Mikulski
Mr. Reid
Mr. Kohl
Mrs. Murray
Mr. Dorgan
Mrs. Feinstein
Mr. Durbin

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE
STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include “(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the committee.”

1999 EMERGENCY SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, PUBLIC
LAW 106–31

TITLE II—EMERGENCY NATIONAL SECURITY
SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS

CHAPTER 1

* * * * *

CHAPTER 6

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION TRANSFER FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For emergency expenses incurred by United States military forces in support of overseas operations; \$475,000,000, to remain available for transfer until September 30, 2003: *Provided*, That the Secretary of Defense may transfer these funds only to military construction accounts *and to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program as provided in section 2806 of title 10, United States Code: Provided further*, That the transfer authority provided in this paragraph is in addition to any other transfer authority contained in this or any other Act: *Provided further*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, such funds may be obligated or expended to carry out military construction projects not otherwise authorized by law: *Provided further*, That the entire amount made available under this heading is designated by the Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1995, as amended: *Provided further*, That this amount shall be available only to the extent that the President transmits to the Congress an official budget request that includes designation of the entire amount of the request as an emergency requirement as defined in the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1995, as amended.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
ALABAMA			
ARMY: ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE VII)	7,000	— 7,000
AIR FORCE: MAXWELL AFB: OTS CADET DORMITORY	10,600	+ 10,600
DEFENSE-WIDE: ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE VII)	7,000	+ 7,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: REDSTONE ARSENAL: UNIT TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE	8,916	+ 8,916
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: DANNELLY FIELD: MEDICAL TRAINING AND DINING FACILITY	6,000	+ 6,000
TOTAL, ALABAMA	7,000	32,516	+ 25,516

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
ALASKA			
ARMY:			
FORT RICHARDSON: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	2,200	14,600	+ 12,400
FORT WAINWRIGHT:			
EMISSION REDUCTION FACILITY	2,300	15,500	+ 13,200
MOUT COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY		17,000	+ 17,000
AMMUNITION SURVEILLANCE FACILITY		2,300	+ 2,300
AIR FORCE:			
EIELSON AFB:			
REPAIR KC-135 PARKING RAMP	941	4,000	+ 3,059
REPAIR RUNWAY	3,334	14,000	+ 10,666
WEAPONS RELEASE SYSTEM FACILITY	1,451	6,100	+ 4,649
ELMENDORF AFB:			
ALTER ROADWAY DAVIS HWY		9,500	+ 9,500
CONSTRUCT C-130 PARKING RAMP	3,995	17,000	+ 13,005
DORMITORY	3,727	15,800	+ 12,073
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
EIELSON AFB: HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	9,000	26,000	+ 17,000
ELMENDORF AFB HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	4,700	23,500	+ 18,800
FORT WAINWRIGHT: HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE I)	18,000	18,000	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT RICHARDSON: CSMS/MATES	2,940	13,850	+ 10,910
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: KULIS ANGB: COMPOSITE SUPPORT COMPLEX	2,170	10,000	+ 7,830
TOTAL, ALASKA	54,758	207,150	+ 152,392
ARIZONA			
NAVY:			
CAMP NAVAJO NAVY DETACHMENT: MAGAZINES MODERNIZATION	1,910	7,560	+ 5,650
YUMA MARINE CORPS AIR STATION:			
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER ADDITION	640	2,620	+ 1,980
LAND ACQUISITION	3,650	14,400	+ 10,750
AIR FORCE: DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB: AIRCRAFT PROCESSING RAMP	1,847	7,800	+ 5,953
DEFENSE-WIDE: DAVIS MONTHAN AFB: ADD/ALTER AMBULATORY HEALTH CARE CENTER	2,400	10,000	+ 7,600
TOTAL, ARIZONA	10,447	42,380	+ 31,933
ARKANSAS			
ARMY:			
PINE BLUFF ARSENAL:			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV)	61,800		— 61,800
CHEMICAL DEFENSE QUALIFICATION FACILITY		18,000	+ 18,000
DEFENSE-WIDE: PINE BLUFF ARSENAL: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV)		61,800	+ 61,800
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: LITTLE ROCK AFB: VEHICLE/BASE ENGINE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	1,881	8,699	+ 6,818
AIR FORCE RESERVE: LITTLE ROCK AFB: ALTER AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	209	800	+ 591
TOTAL, ARKANSAS	63,890	89,299	+ 25,409
CALIFORNIA			
ARMY: FORT IRWIN: ROTATIONAL UNIT FACILITY MAINTENANCE AREA	3,300	13,400	+ 10,100
NAVY:			
BARSTOW MARINE CORPS LOGISTICS BASE: TEST TRACK/TEST POND FACILITY	1,150	4,670	+ 3,520
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE:			
ARMORY	660	2,620	+ 1,960
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	2,390	9,740	+ 7,350

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
INTEGRATED COMMUNICATIONS HUB	960	3,810	+ 2,850
STAFF NON-COMMISSIONED OFFICER'S ACADEMY	1,640	6,480	+ 4,840
TACTICAL VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,210	9,010	+ 6,800
LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION:			
AIRCRAFT ORDNANCE LOADING FACILITIES	3,010	11,900	+ 8,890
AVIATION ARMAMENT FACILITY	1,460	5,800	+ 4,340
ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION	600	2,360	+ 1,760
STRIKE FIGHTER WEAPONS TRAINING FACILITY	1,000	3,960	+ 2,960
NORTH ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION: BERTHING WHARF (PHASE I)	40,760	54,420	+ 13,660
SAN DIEGO NAVAL MEDICAL CENTER: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	5,470	21,590	+ 16,120
SAN DIEGO MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT: PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER ADDITION	810	3,200	+ 2,390
TWENTYNINE PALMS MARINE CORPS BASE:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	4,840	19,130	+ 14,290
CAST TRAINER ADDITION	420	1,670	+ 1,250
TACTICAL VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	3,420	13,960	+ 10,540
TWENTYNINE PALMS NAVAL HOSPITAL: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	1,930	7,640	+ 5,710
AIR FORCE:			
BEALE AFB: FLIGHTLINE FIRE STATION	2,086	8,900	+ 6,814
TRAVIS AFB: ADD TO PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	1,754	7,500	+ 5,746
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CORONADO NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE: NAVAL SPECIAL WARFARE C ² ADDITION	2,272	6,000	+ 3,728
LOS ANGELES AIR FORCE BASE: MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT ..	2,400	13,600	+ 11,200
PRESIDIO, MONTEREY DOD CENTER RENOVATION	6,712	28,000	+ 21,288
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE: WAR READINESS MATERIALS WAREHOUSE/ENGINEERING SUPP	2,000	7,500	+ 5,500
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
FRESNO ANG'S OPS TRNG AND DINING FACILITY		9,100	+ 9,100
MOFFETT FIELD: REPLACE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	3,033	14,000	+ 10,967
NAVY RESERVE: CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER: RESERVE TRAINING COMPLEX	1,649	9,940	+ 8,291
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	97,936	299,900	+ 201,964
COLORADO			
ARMY:			
PETERSON AFB: US ARMY SPACE COMMAND HEADQUARTERS	3,700	25,000	+ 21,300
PUEBLO DEPOT ACTIVITY: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE I)	11,800		— 11,800
AIR FORCE:			
PETERSON AFB: USSPACECOM/NORAD HEADQUARTERS	7,887	33,000	+ 25,113
SCHRIEVER AFB:			
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	929	3,900	+ 2,971
SANITARY SEWER LINE	1,296	5,500	+ 4,204
US AIR FORCE ACADEMY: UPGRADE ACADEMIC FACILITY	4,056	17,500	+ 13,444
DEFENSE-WIDE: PUEBLO ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE I)		11,800	+ 11,800
TOTAL, COLORADO	29,668	96,700	+ 67,032
CONNECTICUT			
ARMY RESERVE: WEST HARTFORD: ADAL RESERVE CENTER		17,525	+ 17,525
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ORANGE ANG'S: AIR CONTROL SQD COMPLEX		11,000	+ 11,000
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT		28,525	+ 28,525
DELAWARE			
AIR FORCE: DOVER AFB: VISITORS QUARTERS		12,000	+ 12,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: SMYRNA: READINESS CENTER	4,381	+ 4,381
TOTAL, DELAWARE	16,381	+ 16,381
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
ARMY:			
FORT MCNAIR: CHAPEL	380	1,250	+ 870
WALTER REED ARMY MEDICAL CENTER: PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	1,020	6,800	+ 5,780
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1,400	8,050	+ 6,650
FLORIDA			
NAVY: WHITING FIELD NAVAL AIR STATION: JPATS T-6A TRAINER FACILITY	1,200	4,750	+ 3,550
AIR FORCE:			
EGLIN AFB:			
DORMITORY	1,635	7,000	+ 5,365
SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,566	6,600	+ 5,034
EGLIN AFB AUXILIARY FIELD 9:			
DORMITORY	2,161	9,100	+ 6,939
REPAIR RUNWAY/TAXIWAY	2,269	9,700	+ 7,431
MACDILL AFB: ADD/ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	1,302	5,500	+ 4,198
PATRICK AFB:			
AIR FREIGHT/PASSENGER TERMINAL FACILITY	1,967	8,300	+ 6,333
BASE SUPPLY/TRAFFIC MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	2,238	9,500	+ 7,262
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
JACKSONVILLE NAVAL AIR STATION: ADD/ALTER BRANCH MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC	780	3,780	+ 3,000
PATRICK AFB: MEDICAL LOGISTICS FACILITY REPLACEMENT	200	1,750	+ 1,550
PENSACOLA NAVAL AIR STATION: AIRCREW WATER SURVIVAL TRAINING FACILITY	1,300	4,300	+ 3,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: PENSACOLA: READINESS CENTER	4,628	+ 4,628
ARMY RESERVE: ORLANDO: LAND ACQUISITION, JOINT RESERVE COMPLEX	690	690
AIR FORCE RESERVE: HOMESTEAD ARB: FIRE FIGHTER TRAINING FACILITY	524	2,000	+ 1,476
TOTAL, FLORIDA	17,832	77,598	+ 59,766
GEORGIA			
ARMY:			
FORT BENNING:			
AMMUNITION HOLDING AREA	420	1,400	+ 980
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	7,100	47,000	+ 39,900
FORT STEWART:			
CONTINGENCY LOGISTICS FACILITY	19,000	+ 19,000
MULTI-PURPOSE TRAINING RANGE	1,100	7,200	+ 6,100
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL W/DINING	7,000	7,000
NAVY: ALBANY MARINE CORPS LOGISTICS BASE: FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,540	6,260	+ 4,720
NAVY RESERVE: NAS ATLANTA: BEQ-A	5,430	+ 5,430
AIR FORCE:			
FORT BENNING: AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON FACILITY	911	3,900	+ 2,989
MOODY AFB: SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	763	3,200	+ 2,437
ROBINS AFB KC-135 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	789	3,350	+ 2,561
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT BENNING: REGIMENTAL COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	2,272	10,200	+ 7,928
MOODY AFB: WAR READINESS MATERIALS WAREHOUSE/BIOENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING FACILITY	200	1,250	+ 1,050
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
SAVANNAH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT:			
COMPOSITE SUPPORT COMPLEX	2,116	9,800	+ 7,684
REGIONAL FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	368	1,700	+ 1,332

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
ARMY RESERVE: FORT GILLEM: USAR CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/DIRECT SUPPORT/WAREHOUSE	3,610	22,121	+ 18,511
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
DOBBINS AFB: ADD/ALTER FACILITY FOR C130-H AIRCREW TRAINING	558	2,130	+ 1,572
ROBINS AFB: ADD/ALTER AIR FORCE RESERVE COMMAND HEADQUARTERS AND ALTERNATE TANKER AIRLIFT CONTROL CENTER	3,666	14,000	+ 10,334
TOTAL, GEORGIA	32,413	164,941	+ 132,528
HAWAII			
ARMY: SCHOFIELD BARRACKS: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	14,200	14,200
NAVY:			
CAMP H.M. SMITH: CINCPAC HEADQUARTERS (PHASE I)	15,870	15,870
Kaneohe Bay Marine Corps Air Station: Control Tower and Air Traffic Control Facility	1,460	5,790	+ 4,330
PEARL Harbor Naval Shipyard: Abrasive Blast and Paint Facility ...	2,690	10,610	+ 7,920
PEARL Harbor Naval Station: Bachelor Enlisted Quarters Modernization	4,720	18,600	+ 13,880
PEARL Harbor Naval Submarine Base: Berthing Wharf	7,470	29,460	+ 21,990
AIR FORCE: HICKAM AFB: Fire Training Facility	785	3,300	+ 2,515
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: BELLOWS AFS: REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE (PHASE II)	12,105	+ 12,105
TOTAL, HAWAII	47,195	109,935	+ 62,740
IDAHO			
NAVY: BAYVIEW NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER: UNDERWATER EQUIPMENT LABORATORY	2,540	10,040	+ 7,500
AIR FORCE:			
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB:			
DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD	564	2,400	+ 1,836
Enhanced Training Range (Phase II)	3,487	14,600	+ 11,113
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
GOWEN FIELD:			
A-10 Expand Arm/Disarm Apron	350	1,600	+ 1,250
Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Hanger	2,300	+ 2,300
TOTAL, IDAHO	6,941	30,940	+ 23,999
ILLINOIS			
NAVY:			
GREAT LAKES NAVAL TRAINING CENTER:			
ALL Weather Running Track	354	1,380	+ 1,026
Bachelor Enlisted Quarters ("A" School)	7,700	31,410	+ 23,710
Drill Hall Replacement	2,830	11,190	+ 8,360
Recruit In-Process Barrack	3,370	13,310	+ 9,940
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: MARSEILLES: BATTALION TRAINING COMPLEX	2,325	10,952	+ 8,627
TOTAL, ILLINOIS	16,579	68,242	+ 51,663
INDIANA			
ARMY: NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)	61,200	- 61,200
DEFENSE-WIDE: NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)	61,200	+ 61,200
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT WAYNE: MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY/DINING HALL	7,200	+ 7,200

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
TOTAL, INDIANA	61,200	68,400	+ 7,200
IOWA			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: SIOUX CITY: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX		3,600	+ 3,600
KANSAS			
ARMY:			
FORT LEAVENWORTH:			
US DISCIPLINARY BARRACKS (PHASE III)	18,800	18,800	
WATER TREATMENT PLANT	1,200	8,100	+ 6,900
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	3,900	26,000	+ 22,100
FORT RILEY: WHOLE BARRACKS RENOVATION		27,000	+ 27,000
AIR FORCE: MCCONNELL AFB; KC-135 SQUAD OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	2,280	9,600	+ 7,320
DEFENSE-WIDE: FORT RILEY: CONSOLIDATED TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC	1,060	6,000	+ 4,940
TOTAL, KANSAS	27,240	95,500	+ 68,260
KENTUCKY			
ARMY:			
BLUEGRASS ARMY DEPOT:			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE I)	11,800		— 11,800
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT	11,000		— 11,000
FORT CAMPBELL:			
MOUT TRAINING COMPLEX	2,150	14,400	+ 12,250
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	900	6,000	+ 5,100
SABRE HELIPORT IMPROVEMENTS	2,475	19,500	+ 17,025
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL (PHASE II)	4,800	32,000	+ 27,200
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY		17,000	+ 17,000
FORT KNOX: MULTI-PURPOSE DIGITAL TRAINING RANGE (PHASE II)	2,400	2,400	
AIR FORCE: FORT CAMPBELL: AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS SQUADRON FACILITY ...	1,472	6,300	+ 4,828
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT:			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE I)		11,800	+ 11,800
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION SUPPORT		11,000	+ 11,000
AMMUNITION SURVEILLANCE FACILITY	900	6,000	+ 5,100
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	37,897	126,400	+ 88,503
LOUISIANA			
ARMY RESERVE: FORT POLK: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP		4,309	+ 4,309
NAVY RESERVE: LAFAYETTE: MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER		3,330	+ 3,330
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: NAS BELLE CHASSE: AMMUNITION STORAGE IGLOO		1,350	+ 1,350
MAINE			
NAVY: BRUNSWICK NAVAL AIR STATION: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	4,270	16,890	+ 12,620
MARYLAND			
ARMY:			
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)			
	66,600		— 66,600
FORT MEADE:			
MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING STATION	1,350	4,450	+ 3,100
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	2,700	18,000	+ 15,300
NAVY: INDIAN HEAD NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER: SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	2,550	10,070	+ 7,520
AIR FORCE: ANDREWS AFB: SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY		9,900	+ 9,900

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)		66,600	+ 66,600
ANDREWS AFB: ADD/ALTER MEDICAL LOGISTICS FACILITY	2,000	3,000	+ 1,000
FORT MEADE:			
PERIMETER FENCE (EAST)	903	903	
RECONFIGURE OPS1 CHILLED WATER	2,043	2,043	
PATUXENT RIVER NAVAL AIR STATION: AIRCREW WATER SURVIVAL TRAINING FACILITY	1,200	4,150	+ 2,950
TOTAL, MARYLAND	79,346	119,116	+ 39,770
MASSACHUSETTS			
ARMY: WESTOVER AFB: MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING STATION	1,200	4,000	+ 2,800
AIR FORCE: HANSCOM AFB: ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT FACILITY RENOVATION ..		16,000	+ 16,000
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	1,200	20,000	+ 18,800
MICHIGAN			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP GRAYLING: AIR GROUND RANGE SUPPORT FACILITY		5,800	+ 5,800
MINNESOTA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP RIPLEY: COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP		10,368	+ 10,368
MISSISSIPPI			
NAVY:			
GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	3,260	12,860	+ 9,600
GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION TRAINING CENTER: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS RENOVATION	1,600	6,310	+ 4,710
AIR FORCE:			
COLUMBUS AFB: ADD TO T-1A HANGAR		2,600	+ 2,600
KEESLER AFB:			
C-130J SIMULATOR FACILITY		8,900	+ 8,900
STUDENT DINING FACILITY	1,686	7,100	+ 5,414
STUDENT DORMITORY	4,679	19,900	+ 15,221
DEFENSE-WIDE: MISSISSIPPI ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT:			
SMALL CRAFT TRAINING COMPLEX	9,600	9,600	
LAND/WATER RANGES		3,300	+ 3,300
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP SHELBY: MULTI-PURPOSE RANGE COMPLEX (PHASE III)		14,900	+ 14,900
VICKSBURG: READINESS CENTER		5,914	+ 5,914
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: JACKSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: C-17 SIMULATOR BUILDING		3,600	+ 3,600
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI	20,825	94,984	+ 74,159
MISSOURI			
ARMY: FORT LEONARD WOOD: WOLVERINE/GRIZZLY SIMULATOR FACILITY	1,600	10,600	+ 9,000
AIR FORCE: WHITEMAN AFB:			
B-2 LOW OBSERVABLE RESTORATION FACILITY	5,428	23,000	+ 17,572
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	447	1,900	+ 1,453
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ROSENCRANS MEM APT: UPGRADE AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PHASE II)		9,000	+ 9,000
TOTAL, MISSOURI	7,475	44,500	+ 37,025

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
MONTANA			
AIR FORCE: MALMSTROM AFB: DORMITORY		11,600	+ 11,600
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: GREAT FALLS IAP: BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE		1,400	+ 1,400
TOTAL, MONTANA		13,000	+ 13,000
NEBRASKA			
AIR FORCE: OFFUTT AFB: DORMITORY	1,941	8,300	+ 6,359
NEVADA			
ARMY: HAWTHORNE ARMY DEPOT: CONTAINER REPAIR FACILITY		1,700	+ 1,700
AIR FORCE: NELLIS AFB:			
F-22 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	1,859	7,800	+ 5,941
F-22 COMPOSITE AND FABRICATION SHOP	1,756	7,500	+ 5,744
F-22 PARTS WAREHOUSE AND OPERATIONS ADDITION	773	3,300	+ 2,527
LAND ACQUISITION		11,600	+ 11,600
TOTAL, NEVADA	4,388	31,900	+ 27,512
NEW HAMPSHIRE			
NAVY: PORTSMOUTH: WATERFRONT CRANE		3,850	+ 3,850
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: PEASE TRADE PORT: UPGRADE KC-135 PARKING APRON		9,600	+ 9,600
TOTAL, NEW HAMPSHIRE		13,450	+ 13,450
NEW JERSEY			
ARMY: FORT MONMOUTH: BARRACKS IMPROVEMENT		11,800	+ 11,800
NAVY: LAKEHURST NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER: AIRCRAFT/PLATFORM INTER-FACE LABORATORY	3,970	15,710	+ 11,740
AIR FORCE: MCGUIRE AFB: VISITING QUARTERS	2,765	11,800	+ 9,035
ARMY RESERVE: FORT DIX: CENTRALIZED TACTICAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	1,607	5,624	+ 4,017
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	8,342	44,934	+ 36,592
NEW MEXICO			
AIR FORCE:			
KIRTLAND AFB: COMPOSITE SUPPORT COMPLEX		9,700	+ 9,700
CANNON AFB:			
CONTROL TOWER		4,000	+ 4,000
REPAIR RUNWAY #2204		8,100	+ 8,100
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO		21,800	+ 21,800
NEW YORK			
ARMY: UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY: CADET PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT CENTER (PHASE II)	28,500	28,500	
AIR FORCE: ROME RESEARCH SITE: CONSOLIDATE INTELLIGENCE AND RECONNAISSANCE LAB	3,002	12,800	+ 9,798
ARMY RESERVE: FORT WADSWORTH: ADD/ALTER USAR CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/AREA MAINT SUPPORT ACTIVITY (PHASE II)	2,066	5,786	+ 3,720
AIR FORCE RESERVE: NIAGARA FALLS: VISITING OFFICERS QUARTERS		6,300	+ 6,300
TOTAL, NEW YORK	33,568	53,386	+ 19,818

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NORTH CAROLINA			
ARMY:			
FORT BRAGG:			
HEAVY DROP RIGGING FACILITY	4,500	30,000	+ 25,500
MOUT TRAINING COMPLEX (PHASE II)	5,600	7,000	+ 1,400
UPGRADE BARRACKS D-AREA	14,400	+ 14,400
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	16,508	16,508
SUNNY POINT MILITARY OCEAN TERMINAL: AMMUNITION SURVEILLANCE FACILITY	550	3,800	+ 3,250
NAVY:			
CAMP LEJEUNE:			
MARINE CORPS BASE MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS FACILITY	2,120	8,400	+ 6,280
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	1,070	4,230	+ 3,160
ROAD AND UTILITY CONSTRUCTION	2,140	8,750	+ 6,610
NEW RIVER MARINE CORPS AIR STATION:			
AIRCRAFT TAXIWAY ADDITION	130	520	+ 390
FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	330	1,340	+ 1,010
PROPERTY CONTROL FACILITY	910	3,610	+ 2,700
AIR FORCE:			
FORT BRAGG: AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONS GROUP FACILITY	1,076	4,600	+ 3,524
POPE AFB: DANGEROUS CARGO PAD	1,802	7,700	+ 5,898
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CAMP LEJUNE MCB: TARAWA TERRACE II ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	2,387	10,570	+ 8,183
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: AIRCREW WATER SURVIVAL TRAINING FACILITY	1,000	3,500	+ 2,500
FORT BRAGG:			
BATTALION OPERATIONS COMPLEX	2,272	18,600	+ 16,328
DEPLOYABLE EQUIPMENT FACILITY	1,500	1,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CHARLOTTE:			
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	912	4,297	+ 3,385
READINESS CENTER	1,504	7,087	+ 5,583
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	46,311	156,412	+ 110,101
NORTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE: GRAND FORKS AFB: PARKING APRON EXTENSION	9,500	+ 9,500
OHIO			
AIR FORCE: WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB:			
CONSOLIDATE AVIONICS RESEARCH LABORATORY	3,230	13,600	+ 10,370
CONTROL TOWER	934	4,000	+ 3,066
CONVERT TO PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	4,600	+ 4,600
DEFENSE-WIDE: WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB: OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH CLINIC/BIO-ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING REPLACEMENT			
.....	2,800	3,900	+ 1,100
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: SPRINGFIELD F-16 SQD OPS FLT TNG COMPLEX	1,770	+ 1,770
NAVY RESERVE: COLUMBUS AFB: RESERVE CENTER ADDITION	3,541	+ 3,541
TOTAL, OHIO	6,964	31,411	+ 24,447
OKLAHOMA			
ARMY:			
MCALESTER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT:			
AMMUNITION ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE	1,020	6,800	+ 5,780
FIRE STATION	900	3,000	+ 2,100
RAILYARD INFRASTRUCTURE	2,000	6,800	+ 4,800
FORT SILL: RAIL AND CONTAINERIZATION FACILITY	2,000	13,200	+ 11,200
AIR FORCE:			
TINKER AFB:			
AIR DRIVEN ACCESS OVERHAUL AND TEST FACILITY	4,001	17,000	+ 12,999

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
DORMITORY	1,602	6,800	+ 5,198
REPAIR AND UPGRADE RUNWAY		11,000	+ 11,000
VANCE AFB: UPGRADE CENTER RUNWAY		12,600	+ 12,600
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: TULSA IAP: COMPOSITE SUPPORT COMPLEX		10,800	+ 10,800
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	11,523	88,000	+ 76,477
OREGON			
ARMY: UMATILLA ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE V)	35,900		— 35,900
DEFENSE-WIDE: UMATILLA ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE V)		35,900	+ 35,900
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: SALEM ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER		15,255	+ 15,255
TOTAL, OREGON	35,900	51,155	+ 15,255
PENNSYLVANIA			
ARMY:			
CARLISLE BARRACKS: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	750	5,000	+ 4,250
LETTERKENNY ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION CONTAINERIZATION COMPLEX	570	3,650	+ 3,080
NAVY:			
MECHANICSBURG NAVAL INVENTORY CONTROL POINT: WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	760	2,990	+ 2,230
NORFOLK NSY DETACHMENT (PHILADELPHIA): CASTING PITS MODERNIZATION		13,320	+ 13,320
DEFENSE-WIDE: DEF DIST DEPOT NEW CUMBERLAND-DDSP PUBLIC SAFETY CENTER	867	5,000	+ 4,133
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: JOHNSTOWN ANG: AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL FACILITY		6,200	+ 6,200
NAVY RESERVE: WILLOW GROVE NAVAL AIR STATION:			
GROUND EQUIPMENT SHOP		600	+ 600
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE FACILITY	320	1,930	+ 1,610
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA	3,267	38,690	+ 35,423
RHODE ISLAND			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: QUONSET: MAINTENANCE HANGER AND SHOPS		16,500	+ 16,500
SOUTH CAROLINA			
ARMY: FORT JACKSON: EMERGENCY SERVICES CENTER	1,100	7,400	+ 6,300
NAVY:			
BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION:			
ARMORY FACILITY	450	1,790	+ 1,340
CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	2,200	8,700	+ 6,500
CHARLESTON NAVAL WEAPONS STATION: AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL ENGINEERING FACILITY	1,930	7,640	+ 5,710
AIR FORCE: CHARLESTON AFB: C-17 CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	4,389	18,200	+ 13,811
DEFENSE-WIDE: LAUREL BAY ISLAND: INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL ADDITION	642	2,874	+ 2,232
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: MCENTIRE ANG: CONTROL TOWER		8,000	+ 8,000
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	10,711	54,604	+ 43,893
SOUTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE: ELLSWORTH AFB: EDUCATION/LIBRARY CENTER		10,200	+ 10,200
TENNESSEE			
AIR FORCE: ARNOLD AFB: UPGRADE JET ENGINE AIR INDUCT SYSTEM (PHASE III)	1,851	7,800	+ 5,949

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: HENDERSON: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,976	+ 1,976
TOTAL, TENNESSEE	1,851	9,776	+ 7,925
TEXAS			
ARMY:			
FORT BLISS:			
AIR DEPLOYMENT FACILITY COMPLEX	2,550	17,000	+ 14,450
AIRCRAFT LOADING APRON	3,300	22,000	+ 18,700
AMMUNITION HOT LOAD FACILITY	1,700	11,400	+ 9,700
FORT HOOD:			
DEPLOYMENT READY REACTIVE FIELD AND TRAILS	2,000	8,000	+ 6,000
FIXED WING AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	4,600	31,000	+ 26,400
FORCE XXI, SOLDIER DEVELOPMENT CENTER (PHASE II)	14,000	14,000
RAIL HEAD FACILITY (PHASE II)	14,800	14,800
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	4,350	29,000	+ 24,650
AIR FORCE:			
DYESS AFB: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	5,400	+ 5,400
LACKLAND AFB:			
DORMITORY	1,257	5,300	+ 4,043
SECURITY FORCES CENTER	1,893	8,100	+ 6,207
LAUGHLIN AFB: ADD/ALTER JPATS BEDDOWN VARIOUS FACILITIES	766	3,250	+ 2,484
DEFENSE-WIDE: FORT SAM HOUSTON: VETERINARY INSTRUCTIONAL FACILITY	600	5,800	+ 5,200
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: LACKLAND AFB: F-16 SQUADRON OPS FLIGHT TRNG COMPLEX	9,700	+ 9,700
ARMY RESERVE: FORT HOOD: AREA MAINTENANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITY/EQUIPMENT CONCENTRATION SITE	2,684	9,431	+ 6,747
TOTAL, TEXAS	54,500	194,181	+ 139,681
UTAH			
ARMY: SALT LAKE: RED BUTTE DAM	8,000	+ 8,000
AIR FORCE: HILL AFB: CAD/PAD SPARES STORAGE FACILITY	1,081	4,600	+ 3,519
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: SALT LAKE CITY IAP: UPGRADE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE	9,700	+ 9,700
NAVY RESERVE: CAMP WILLIAMS: MARINE CORPS RESERVE TRAINING CENTER ADDITION	150	890	+ 740
TOTAL, UTAH	1,231	23,190	+ 21,959
VERMONT			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: NORTHFIELD: MULTIPURPOSE TRAINING FACILITY	8,652	+ 8,652
VIRGINIA			
ARMY:			
FORT BELVOIR:			
FIRE STATION	500	1,700	+ 1,200
MILITARY POLICE STATION	640	2,150	+ 1,510
FORT EUSTIS: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	5,800	39,000	+ 33,200
FORT MYER: PUBLIC SAFETY CENTER	870	2,900	+ 2,030
NAVY:			
DAM NECK FLEET COMBAT TRAINING CENTER: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	2,610	10,310	+ 7,700
NORFOLK NAVAL STATION:			
BERTHING PIER (PHASE II)	12,690	12,690
PIER ELECTRICAL UPGRADES (PHASE II)	4,720	18,660	+ 13,940
PIER REPLACEMENT	8,600	40,000	+ 31,400
WATERFRONT ATHLETIC COMPLEX	2,760	10,890	+ 8,130

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	4,460	17,630	+ 13,170
OCEANA NAVAL AIR STATION: AIRCRAFT ACOUSTICAL ENCLOSURE	2,910	11,490	+ 8,580
QUANTICO MARINE CORPS COMBAT DEVELOPMENT COMMAND: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	5,270	20,820	+ 15,550
YORKTOWN NAVAL WEAPONS STATION: TRESTLE REPLACEMENT AND PIER UPGRADE	6,330	25,040	+ 18,710
AIR FORCE: LANGLEY AFB: DORMITORY	1,486	6,300	+ 4,814
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CHEATHAM ANNEX: FLEET HOSPITAL SUPPORT OFC CONTAINER HOLDING YARD	500	1,650	+ 1,150
DAM NECK: MISSION SUPPORT FACILITY	2,273	4,700	+ 2,427
NORFOLK NAVAL AIR STATION: AIRCREW WATER SURVIVAL TRAINING FACILITY	1,150	4,050	+ 2,900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT PICKETT: MULTIPURPOSE RANGE	13,500	+ 13,500
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	63,569	243,480	+ 179,911
WASHINGTON			
ARMY: FORT LEWIS:			
AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	1,560	5,200	+ 3,640
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	1,850	6,200	+ 4,350
TANK TRAIL EROSION MITIGATION—YAKIMA (PHASE V)	2,000	12,000	+ 10,000
NAVY:			
BANGOR STRATEGIC WEAPONS FACILITY: D5 MISSILE SUPPORT FACILITY ...	1,600	6,300	+ 4,700
PORT HADLOCK NAVAL ORDNANCE CENTER (PACIFIC): TOMAHAWK MAGAZINE	870	3,440	+ 2,570
PUGET SOUND NAVAL SHIPYARD: DREDGING	3,950	15,610	+ 11,660
AIR FORCE:			
FAIRCHILD AFB:			
FLIGHTLINE SUPPORT FACILITY	9,100	+ 9,100
SURVIVAL TRAINING LOGISTICS COMPLEX	1,071	4,500	+ 3,429
MCCHORD AFB: C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	1,858	7,900	+ 6,042
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FAIRCHILD AFB: ADD TO HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	1,500	12,400	+ 10,900
FORT LEWIS: NORTH DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	4,950	5,500	+ 550
WHIDBEY ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION: AIRCREW WATER SURVIVAL TRAINING FACILITY	1,300	4,700	+ 3,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: YAKIMA: MANEUVER AREA TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE (PHASE I)	3,464	16,316	+ 12,852
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: FAIRCHILD AFB: COMPOSITE SUPPORT COMPLEX	9,800	+ 9,800
AIR FORCE RESERVE: MCCHORD AFB: ADD/ALTER C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT FACILITY	864	3,300	+ 2,436
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	26,837	122,266	+ 95,429
WEST VIRGINIA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: ELEANOR:			
MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	18,521	+ 18,521
READINESS CENTER	9,583	+ 9,583
TOTAL, WEST VIRGINIA	28,104	+ 28,104
WISCONSIN			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: VOLK FIELD: REPLACE TROOP TRAINING QUARTERS	1,923	8,900	+ 6,977
CONUS CLASSIFIED			
ARMY: CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS: CLASSIFIED PROJECT	36,400	36,400

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
AIR FORCE:			
CLASSIFIED LOCATION:			
AIR CONTROL SQUADRON OPERATIONS COMPLEX	1,200	5,100	+ 3,900
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	1,093	1,093
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	9,700	9,700
SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY	244	977	+ 733
TOTAL, CONUS CLASSIFIED	48,637	53,270	+ 4,633
ASCENSION ISLAND			
AIR FORCE: ASCENSION ISLAND AUXILIARY AIR FIELD: GPS SATELLITE CONTROL STATION	512	2,150	+ 1,638
BAHRAIN ISLAND			
NAVY:			
ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT UNIT (SOUTHWEST ASIA):			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS (SECURITY FORCE)	6,230	24,550	+ 18,320
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS (TRANSIENT)	5,840	23,770	+ 17,930
OPERATIONS CONTROL CENTER	8,550	34,770	+ 26,220
TOTAL, BAHRAIN ISLAND	20,620	83,090	+ 62,470
DIEGO GARCIA			
NAVY: DIEGO GARCIA NAVY SUPPORT FACILITY: AIRCRAFT INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,070	8,150	+ 6,080
GERMANY			
ARMY:			
ANSBACH: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	3,150	21,000	+ 17,850
BAMBERG:			
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	860	5,700	+ 4,840
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	1,400	9,300	+ 7,900
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	1,230	8,200	+ 6,970
MANNHEIM: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	675	4,500	+ 3,825
DEFENSE-WIDE: RAMSTEIN AIR BASE: ADD/ALTER DENTAL CLINIC	2,550	7,100	+ 4,550
TOTAL, GERMANY	9,865	55,800	+ 45,935
GREECE			
NAVY: SOUDA BAY CRETE NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FACILITIES	1,620	6,380	+ 4,760
GUAM			
AIR FORCE: ANDERSEN AFB: LANDFILL CLOSURE	2,097	8,900	+ 6,803
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
ANDERSEN AFB: ANDERSEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	10,026	44,170	+ 34,144
DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT GUAM: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	2,600	24,300	+ 21,700
ARMY RESERVE: BARRIGADA: USAR CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP/AREA MAINTENANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITY	1,116	17,546	+ 16,430
TOTAL, GUAM	15,839	94,916	+ 79,077
ITALY			
NAVY: NAPLES NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FACILITY	7,370	26,750	+ 19,380
AIR FORCE: AVIANO AB: RADAR APPROACH CONTROL FACILITY	966	3,700	+ 2,734
TOTAL, ITALY	8,336	30,450	+ 22,114

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
KOREA			
ARMY:			
CAMP CASEY: WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL	4,650	31,000	+ 26,350
CAMP HOWZE: WATER SYSTEM UPGRADE	920	3,050	+ 2,130
CAMP STANLEY: ELECTRICAL SYSTEM UPGRADE	1,100	3,650	+ 2,550
AIR FORCE:			
OSAN AB:			
ADD/ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	2,229	7,600	+ 5,371
DORMITORY	3,482	12,000	+ 8,518
DEFENSE-WIDE YONGSAN:			
ADD/ALTER HOSPITAL	9,570	38,570	+ 29,000
MEDICAL SUPPLY/EQUIP STORAGE WAREHOUSE REPLACEMENT	2,300	2,550	+ 250
TOTAL, KOREA	24,251	98,420	+ 74,169
KWAJALEIN			
ARMY: KWAJALEIN ATOLL: POWER PLANT—ROI NAMUR ISLAND (PHASE II)	35,400	35,400
PORTUGAL			
AIR FORCE: LAJES FIELD (AZORES): APRON SECURITY LIGHTING	479	1,800	+ 1,321
PUERTO RICO			
DEFENSE-WIDE: NSGA SABANA SECA: MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT ...	1,120	4,000	+ 2,880
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
PUERTO RICO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT			
C-130 ADD TO AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	490	2,250	+ 1,760
C-130 FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	1,212	5,600	+ 4,388
C-130 UPGRADE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	825	3,800	+ 2,975
ARMY RESERVE: FORT BUCHANAN: USAR CENTER	1,431	10,101	+ 8,670
TOTAL, PUERTO RICO	5,078	25,751	+ 20,673
SPAIN			
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
MORON AIR BASE: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	4,100	15,200	+ 11,100
ROTA NAVAL STATION: ROTA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	3,854	17,020	+ 13,166
TOTAL, SPAIN	7,954	32,220	+ 24,266
UNITED KINGDOM			
AIR FORCE:			
ROYAL AIR FORCE FELTWELL: WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	786	3,000	+ 2,214
ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH:			
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1,519	5,800	+ 4,281
CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT COMPLEX	3,221	12,400	+ 9,179
ROYAL AIR FORCE MILDENHALL:			
CONSOLIDATE CORROSION CONTROL/MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	2,693	10,200	+ 7,507
OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,076	4,100	+ 3,024
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE FACILITY	267	1,000	+ 733
KC-135 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	600	2,300	+ 1,700
ROYAL AIR FORCE MOLESWORTH: WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	445	1,700	+ 1,255
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
ROYAL AIR FORCE FELTWELL: CONSTRUCT MULTIPURPOSE FACILITY	1,023	4,570	+ 3,547
LAKENHEATH MIDDLE SCHOOL: CONSTRUCT GYMNASIUM BUILDING	841	3,770	+ 2,929
MENWITH HILL STATION: MEDICAL CENTER EXPANSION	500	500
ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH: ADD/ALTER DENTAL CLINIC	1,000	7,100	+ 6,100
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	13,971	56,440	+ 42,469

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NATO			
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	191,000	100,000	— 91,000
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
ARMY:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
HOST NATION SUPPORT	21,300	21,300
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	9,500	15,000	+ 5,500
PLANNING AND DESIGN	60,705	65,114	+ 4,409
GENERAL REDUCTION
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	30,689	— 30,689
FINANCING ENTRY	— 30,689	+ 30,689
NAVY:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: PLANNING AND DESIGN	65,630	66,581	+ 951
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	7,342	8,862	+ 1,520
GENERAL REDUCTION
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	6,178	— 6,178
FINANCING ENTRY	— 6,178	+ 6,178
AIR FORCE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	8,741	14,126	+ 5,385
PLANNING AND DESIGN	28,004	32,764	+ 4,760
GENERAL REDUCTION
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	3,376	— 3,376
FINANCING ENTRY	— 3,376	+ 3,376
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	6,558	— 6,558
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	938	938
GENERAL REDUCTION
PLANNING AND DESIGN:			
TRI-CARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	9,500	9,500
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	5,700	6,040	+ 340
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	124	15,124	+ 15,000
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES	18,000	3,000	— 15,000
TRANSFER FROM RDT&E
FORWARD OPERATING LOCATIONS	5,000	+ 5,000
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN	33,324	38,664	+ 5,340
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION:			
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENTS EDUCATION	1,000	1,000
TRI-CARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	3,587	3,587
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	2,300	2,300
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	1,500	1,500
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	1,248	1,248
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES	2,900	2,900
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	6,083	6,083
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	18,618	18,618
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,129	29,667	+ 25,538
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	771	20,771	+ 20,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,951	17,871	+ 12,920
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	2,000	7,000	+ 5,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
ARMY RESERVE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	8,500	9,968	+ 1,468
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	1,416	2,716	+ 1,300
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	712		— 712
FINANCING ENTRY	— 712		+ 712
NAVY RESERVE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	1,778	1,778	
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	1,036	4,036	+ 3,000
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	32		— 32
FINANCING ENTRY	— 32		+ 32
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	1,867	1,867	
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,467	5,467	+ 1,000
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	407		— 407
FINANCING ENTRY	— 407		+ 407
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	291,575	383,108	+ 91,533
WORLDWIDE VARIOUS			
DEFENSE-WIDE: VARIOUS LOCATIONS: POLLUTION ABATEMENT FACILITIES	1,300	8,900	+ 7,600
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY			
KOREA: CAMP HUMPHREYS (60 UNITS)	4,400	24,000	+ 19,600
FINANCING ENTRY	— 286		+ 286
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	5,303	32,600	+ 27,297
FINANCING ENTRY	— 345		+ 345
PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,300	4,300	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	631		— 631
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	14,003	60,900	+ 46,897
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	92,453	92,453	
SERVICES ACCOUNT	47,715	47,715	
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	220,952	220,952	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	44,970	44,970	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	482	482	
LEASING	222,294	222,294	
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	469,211	469,211	
INTEREST PAYMENTS	3	3	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,098,080	1,098,080	
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,112,083	1,158,980	+ 46,897
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS			
ARIZONA: MARINE CORPS AIR STATION (YUMA) (100 UNITS)		17,000	+ 17,000
HAWAII:			
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION (KANEHOE BAY) (100 UNITS)	5,320	26,615	+ 21,295
MARINE CORPS BASE HAWAII FAMILY HOUSING (84 UNITS)		22,639	+ 22,639
NAVAL COMPLEX (PEARL HARBOR) (133 UNITS)	6,031	30,168	+ 24,137
NAVAL COMPLEX (PEARL HARBOR) (96 UNITS)	3,831	19,167	+ 15,336
FINANCING ENTRY	— 908		+ 908
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	31,708	165,050	+ 133,342
FINANCING ENTRY	— 1,897		+ 1,897
PLANNING AND DESIGN	17,715	17,715	

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	2,805	— 2,805
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	64,605	298,354	+ 233,749
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	82,925	82,925
SERVICES ACCOUNT	63,589	63,589
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	170,991	170,991
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	32,636	32,636
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,180	1,180
LEASING	145,953	145,953
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	397,723	397,723
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	73	73
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	895,070	895,070
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	959,675	1,193,424	+ 233,749
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE			
ARIZONA: DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB (64 UNITS)	2,707	10,000	+ 7,293
CALIFORNIA:			
BEALE AFB (60 UNITS)	2,301	8,500	+ 6,199
EDWARDS AFB (98 UNITS)	4,404	16,270	+ 11,866
EDWARDS AFB (90 UNITS)	4,472	16,520	+ 12,048
VANDENBERG AFB (91 UNITS)	4,548	16,800	+ 12,252
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: BOLLING AFB (72 UNITS)	2,537	9,375	+ 6,838
FLORIDA:			
EGLIN AFB (130 UNITS)	3,812	14,080	+ 10,268
MACDILL AFB (54 UNITS)	2,446	9,034	+ 6,588
KANSAS: MCCONNELL AFB	1,363	+ 1,363
MISSISSIPPI: COLUMBUS AFB (100 UNITS)	3,327	12,290	+ 8,963
MONTANA: MALMSTROM AFB (34 UNITS)	2,050	7,570	+ 5,520
NEBRASKA: OFFUTT AFB (72 UNITS)	3,343	12,352	+ 9,009
NORTH CAROLINA: SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB (78 UNITS)	3,300	12,187	+ 8,887
NORTH DAKOTA:			
GRAND FORKS AFB (42 UNITS)	2,720	10,050	+ 7,330
MINOT AFB (72 UNITS)	2,912	10,756	+ 7,844
TEXAS: LACKLAND AFB (48 UNITS)	2,030	7,500	+ 5,470
PORTUGAL: LAJES AFB (AZORES) (75 UNITS)	3,509	12,964	+ 9,455
FINANCING ENTRY	— 1,033	+ 1,033
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	34,280	129,952	+ 95,672
FINANCING ENTRY	— 128	+ 128
PLANNING AND DESIGN	17,093	17,471	+ 378
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	1,161	— 1,161
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	101,791	335,034	+ 233,243
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	56,413	56,413
SERVICES ACCOUNT	31,450	31,450
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	160,117	160,117
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	36,997	36,997
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	2,640	2,640
LEASING	118,509	118,509
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	415,733	415,733
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	33	33
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	821,892	821,892
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	923,683	1,156,926	+ 233,243

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE			
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (NSA)	50	50
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (NSA)	67	67
SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA)	265	265
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NSA)	515	515
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA)	132	132
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT (NSA)	50	50
LEASING (NSA)	13,374	13,374
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA)	244	244
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DIA)	3,401	3,401
LEASING (DIA)	22,265	22,265
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA)	247	247
SERVICES ACCOUNT (DLA)	75	75
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DLA)	414	414
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA)	21	21
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA)	370	370
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	41,440	41,440
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	41,490	41,490
FAMILY HOUSING REVITALIZATION			
FAMILY HOUSING REVITALIZATION	25,000	+ 25,000
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND			
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	78,756	25,000	— 53,756
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV			
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV	705,911	705,911
GENERAL PROVISIONS			
CONTINGENCY REDUCTION	— 278,051	— 278,051
GRAND TOTAL	5,438,443	8,273,820	+ 2,835,377